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ABSTRACT

Graves’ ophthalmopathy is an debilitating disease impairing the quality of life of affected individuals. Despite recent progress in the understanding of its pathogenesis, treatment is often not satisfactory. In mild cases, local therapeutic measures (artificial tears and ointments, sunglasses, nocturnal taping of the eyes, prisms) can control symptoms and signs. In severe forms of the disease (3–5%), aggressive measures are required. If the disease is active, high-dose glucocorticoids and/or orbital radiotherapy, or orbital decompression represent the mainstay of treatment. If the disease is severe but inactive, orbital decompression is preferred. Novel treatments such as somatostatin analogs or intravenous immunoglobulins are under evaluation. Rehabilitative (extraocular muscle or eyelid) surgery is often needed after treatment and inactivation of eye disease. Correction of both hyper- and hypothyroidism is crucial for the ophthalmopathy. Antithyroid drugs and thyroidectomy do not influence the course of the ophthalmopathy, whereas radioiodine treatment may cause the progression of preexisting ophthalmopathy, especially in smokers. The exacerbation, however, is prevented by glucocorticoids. In addition, thyroid ablation may prove beneficial for the ophthalmopathy in view of the pathogenetic model relating eye disease to autoimmune reactions directed against antigens shared by the thyroid and the orbit. (Endocrine Reviews 21:168–199, 2000)

I. Introduction

GRAVES’ OPHTHALMOPATHY (GO), the most frequent extrathyroidal manifestation of Graves’ disease (1), remains a pathogenetic enigma and a therapeutic dilemma. In its severe expression it is a disfiguring and invalidating disease that profoundly influences and impairs the quality of life of affected individuals (2). Despite recent progress in the understanding of its pathogenesis (3–5) and in its management (6), major controversies still exist in both areas. Even the denomination of this disorder is controversial, and the terms “thyroid eye disease” or “thyroid-associated ophthalmopathy” are both often used. This is because, although mostly associated with Graves’ hyperthyroidism, ophthalmopathy may less frequently occur also in patients with hypothyroid Hashimoto’s thyroiditis or in euthyroid subjects with no current or past evidence of thyroid hyper- or hypofunction (so-called euthyroid Graves’ disease) (1, 7).

Because excellent reviews have recently been published on the pathogenesis of ophthalmopathy (1, 3–5), only the most recent contributions in this field will be presented, while the discussion will be mostly focused on the management of the disease. Emphasis will be given to the coordinated treatment of the frequently associated hyperthyroidism, and future therapeutic perspectives will be considered.
II. Pathogenesis

It is widely accepted that GO is an autoimmune disorder (1), but its pathogenesis is still incompletely understood (see Refs. 3–5 for review). Support for the concept that GO is of autoimmune origin comes from the associated histopathological changes. There is an increased volume of the extraocular muscles and orbital connective and adipose tissues (1); the extraocular muscles are edematous due to increased production of the hydrophilic glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) in the orbital tissue (3); a marked infiltration of immunocompetent cells (predominantly T lymphocytes and macrophages; to a lesser extent, B lymphocytes) is detectable (8, 9). Infiltrating T cells are mostly CD4+ (10, 11).

According to a leading pathogenetic hypothesis (1), autoreactive T lymphocytes recognizing an antigen shared by the thyroid and the orbit infiltrate the orbital tissue and the perimysium of extraocular muscles; this process may be facilitated by either circulating or locally produced adhesion molecules (5), the expression of which may be induced by cytokines (12) and be related to the activity of the disease (13). After infiltration of the orbit by T cells, the shared antigen could then be recognized by a T cell receptor on CD4+ T lymphocytes: the finding of a biased usage of the T cell receptor variable gene (14, 15) supports the concept of an antigen-specific immune reaction (9). After antigen recognition, CD4+ T lymphocytes could secrete cytokines that amplify the immune reaction by either activating CD8+ T lymphocytes or autoantibody-producing B cells (16). Phenotypic analysis of T cell clones from the orbital tissue of GO patients has revealed a predominance of T cells with a Th1 profile (interleukin-2, interferon-γ, tumor necrosis factor-α) (17, 18), but also a Th2 profile of cytokine production (interleukin-4, interleukin-5, interleukin-10) has been reported (18–20). These differences might be related to different stages or activity levels of eye disease (21, 22), but they might also reflect differences in experimental methods employed in different studies.

Cytokines induce expression of major histocompatibility complex class II molecules (23) and heat-shock protein-72 (HSP-72), which are important for antigen recognition (5), and of intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (24), which is important for T cell recruitment. In addition, cytokines stimulate fibroblasts to synthesize and secrete GAGs (25, 26), which attract fluid into the retroorbital space, thus contributing to the development of periorbital swelling, proptosis, and extraocular muscle swelling (1). The expansion of the orbital content is also related to cytokine-induced proliferation of fibroblasts (27). Orbital fibroblasts may contribute to perpetuate the ongoing immune reaction in the orbit by protecting infiltrating T cells from apoptosis (28). Orbital fibroblasts include a subpopulation of cells (preadipocytes), which, under particular hormonal stimulation, differentiate into adipocytes (29) and may contribute to the increased volume of retroorbital adipose tissue.

If the above pathogenetic mechanisms are correct, two major questions arise: 1) Which is the antigen shared by the thyroid and the orbit?; and 2) Which is the orbital cell type targeted by T cells?

The TSH-receptor (TSH-R), the autoantigen involved in Graves’ hyperthyroidism, is probably a shared antigen (30–32). This concept is supported by several lines of evidence. TSH-R transcripts have been demonstrated in the orbital tissues by RT-PCR (33–37), but this technique has a drawback: its sensitivity allows the amplification of virtually any gene due to illegitimate transcription (38). In addition, TSH-R variants have also been detected in orbital tissue (39–42). However, the presence of TSH-R-like immunoreactivity has been shown in orbital and pretibial fibroblasts using antibodies directed to the TSH-R extracellular domain (43–45). More recently, in a sample of orbital fat from a GO patient, the major TSH-R transcripts (4.6, 1.7, 1.3 kb) were demonstrated by Northern blot analysis (46). Using in situ hybridization with a digoxigenin-labeled antisense oligonucleotide probe specific for the extracellular domain of the TSH-R, Spitzweg et al. (47) demonstrated specific perinuclear and cytoplasmic TSH-R gene expression in orbital fibroblasts from GO patients and, to a lesser extent, from normal subjects. Intact and variant TSH-R mRNA transcripts were demonstrated by Bahn et al. (48) by liquid hybridization analysis in orbital adipose/connective tissue specimens from GO patients. Ludgate and co-workers (49) reported that, using monoclonal antibodies to the TSH-R produced by genetic immunization (50), immunostaining was obtained in fibroblast-like elongated cells and in adjacent clusters of adipocytes in orbit biopsic samples of GO patients, but not in tissue specimens from pseudotumor or in extraocular muscle samples. Recently, an increased expression of the TSH-R was reported in orbital preadipocytes after differentiation into adipocytes, with a relatively greater TSH-R gene expression in GO than in normal orbital tissue specimens (51).

After overexpression of the extracellular domain of the TSH-R as fusion protein in bacteria (52), a low level of IgG binding was detectable by Western blotting in sera of 3 of 11 GO patients who had negative tests for circulating TSH-R autoantibodies (49). In addition, IgA binding to a degraded fragment of the TSH-R fusion protein was observed in 6 of 11 TSH-R autoantibody-negative GO patients (49). The role of IgA class autoantibodies was previously underscored by Arnold et al. (53), who reported IgA binding to normal orbit and skin fibroblasts.

After xenografting retroorbital tissues from GO patients into severe combined immunodeficient mice, the TSH-R antibody was detected in 7 of 9 xenografted mice (54). When syngeneic TSH-R-primed splenocytes were transferred to BALB/c or NOD mice, destructive thyroiditis with a Th1 cytokine profile occurred in NOD mice, while a Th2 response developed in BALB/c mice together with the appearance of TSH-R antibodies (55). As reported by Ludgate et al. (49), eye changes similar to those found in GO [lymphocyte and mast cell infiltration, an increase in adipose tissue, periodic acid Schiff-positive (PAS+) edema] were observed in 17 of 25 BALB/c mice, but not in NOD mice.

A genomic point mutation in codon 52 of the extracellular domain of the TSH-R, leading to a proline-for-threonine substitution, was found in 2 of 22 GO patients and in no normal subjects (56). A higher prevalence of this polymorphism was found among GO patients with other extrathyroidal manifestations of Graves’ disease (acropachy, pretibial myxedema) suggesting that it might predispose to more severe
immune reactions (57). However, the role of this polymorphism in the pathogenesis of GO appears questionable in view of other studies (58–60).

Another possible explanation is that the orbital antigen cross-reacting with a thyroid antigen might be located on eye muscle cells. A 64-kDa antigen shared by the thyroid and the orbit was reported by Salvi et al. (61), but the role and specificity of this antigen have been questioned because of its expression in other tissues (62, 63). Wall et al. (64) reported that under non-denaturing conditions a 64-kDa protein, expressed in eye muscle cells but not in skeletal muscle, reacted with serum antibodies present in 67% of GO patients but not in patients with Hashimoto’s thyroiditis or in controls. Recently, the 64-kDa protein was partially sequenced and identified as the flavoprotein subunit of mitochondrial succinate dehydrogenase, with a corrected molecular mass of 67 kDa (65). Autoantibodies reactive with purified succinate dehydrogenase were detectable in 67% of patients with active GO, 30% of patients with stable eye disease, 30% of Graves’ patients without clinically apparent ophthalmopathy, and 7% of normal subjects (65). It has been claimed that the appearance of these antibodies in the circulation might predict the subsequent development of GO (66).

Other eye muscle autoantigens possibly involved in GO include 1) a 63-kDa calcium-binding protein, called calsequestrin, expressed in extraocular muscle and skeletal muscle, but not in the thyroid (67); 2) a 53-kDa protein, identified as another calcium-binding glycoprotein, sarcalumenin, expressed in extraocular muscle and skeletal muscle, but not in the thyroid (68); 3) a different 63- to 64-kDa protein, called 1D protein, cloned from a thyroid cDNA expression library (62), which is expressed in extraocular muscles, skeletal muscles, thyroid, testis, and other tissues (69, 70); and 4) a novel eye muscle protein, called G2s, with an estimated molecular mass of about 220 kDa, expressed in extraocular muscles, skeletal muscles, and thyroid (68). A higher prevalence of circulating antibodies directed against two porcine eye muscle antigens (64-kDa and 95-kDa) has been found by immunoblotting in GO patients compared with those without eye involvement or to normal controls (71). On the other hand, serum antibodies reacting with several extraocular muscle antigens have been detected in patients with nonspecific orbital inflammation (72).

A role for eye muscle cells in GO has also been suggested by the coexpression of human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-DR and heat shock protein-70 (HSP-70) in eye muscle cells from GO patients (73). Molnar et al. (74) reported the presence of IgA antibodies reacting with eye muscle fibers, with no difference, however, in their prevalence between Graves’ patients with or without ophthalmopathy.

Most of the eye muscle antigens are intracellular, ubiquitous, and probably devoid of the disease specificity expected in an organ-specific autoimmune disorder (32). On the other hand, the G2 s protein is a cell-membrane protein and might effectively be involved in primary immune recognition. Antibodies to the other eye muscle antigens might then represent a secondary phenomenon after eye muscle damage and antigen exposure. Recently, evidence of Fas-mediated apoptosis was provided in extraocular muscle tissue from GO patients (75), but this is likely to represent a late event in the course of eye disease, preceding fibrotic changes in eye muscles.

To summarize, the orbital cell target of the autoimmune response in GO remains to be defined, but fibroblasts and adipocytes are more likely to be primarily involved; myocytes might be the object of secondary phenomena concurring with the perpetuation of the autoimmune reaction, although at the current status of knowledge, a primary role also of eye muscle cells cannot be ruled out. The nature of the putative antigen(s) shared by the thyroid and the orbit remains elusive, but many elements support the idea that TSH-R may represent the culprit antigen. The role of numerous eye muscle antigens remains to be clarified. It is conceivable that most of these eye muscle antigens, localized intracellularly, may be expressed only after eye muscle damage. Antibodies directed against these antigens might, therefore, represent not the primary event, but a secondary response and contribute to maintaining rather than triggering the ongoing autoimmune reactions in the orbit.

III. Management of Graves’ Ophthalmopathy: General Principles

The majority of Graves’ patients have a mild and nonprogressive ocular involvement that does not require any specific or aggressive treatment, also because nonsevere GO often tends to improve spontaneously. In a study of 101 patients attending a thyroid-eye clinic over a 5-yr period, 59 patients were judged not to require specific treatment for ophthalmopathy and were included in a study on the natural history of the disease: ophthalmopathy spontaneously regressed with time in two thirds of cases, while it deteriorated only in 15% of cases (76) (Fig. 1). Bartley et al. (77) found that among the 120 subjects of an incidence cohort study, 89 (74%) required either no treatment or only supportive measures (Fig. 2). Thus, the two basic questions that must be addressed when evaluating a GO patient are whether he/she needs a specific treatment for eye disease and, in this case, which kind of treatment is indicated.

![FIG. 1. Natural history of GO. SI, Substantial improvement; MI, minor improvement; NC, no change; W, worsening. [Derived from P. Perros et al.: Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 42:45–50, 1995 (76).]]
The decision of whether ophthalmopathy must be treated should rely on the assessment of two different features, the severity and the activity of the disease. Some degree of eye involvement occurs in the majority of Graves’ patients and can be either clinically evident or demonstrated only by instrumental techniques, such as CT scan, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or orbital ultrasound (1). In an incidence cohort of 120 patients from Olmsted County, Minnesota, eyelid retraction represented the most frequent eye sign, occurring in 108/119 (91%), followed by proptosis (73/117, 62%), and by extraocular muscle dysfunction (51/120, 42%) (78). However, also eyelid edema, conjunctival injection, and chemosis occurred frequently (32%, 34%, 23%, respectively), while signs of optic neuropathy were found only in 7 patients (6%) (78). In the same series the most frequent complaints were diplopia in 40 patients (33%), pain or discomfort in 36 (30%), lacrimation in 25 (21%), photophobia in 19 (16%), and blurred vision in 9 (7%) (78).

Definition of severity of GO is somehow arbitrary (Table 1). Undoubtedly, optic neuropathy, which can be subclinical and heralded only by changes in the visual evoked potentials (79), depicts per se a situation that can be sight threatening, especially if it is associated with an evident reduction of visual acuity. Marked proptosis may cause secondary exposure keratitis and lead to corneal ulceration or perforation. Thus, the presence of a substantial reduction of visual acuity attributable to optic neuropathy, or the presence of marked degrees of proptosis should be sufficient to define the ophthalmopathy as severe. In this regard, it may be relevant to evaluate variations in the proptosis, which may indicate a progression of the disease. Extraocular muscle dysfunction does not represent a danger for vision, but the resulting diplopia markedly influences daily activities and is responsible for major discomfort for affected individuals, especially if it is constant, i.e., present in all positions of gaze. Accordingly, extraocular muscle impairment, when causing diplopia in primary and reading gaze positions, should also be considered as an indicator of the severity of the disease. Soft tissue involvement, either inflammatory or congestive, is in most cases more striking than dangerous, although it disturbs and creates discomfort for the patient. For this reason, except for the rare cases with extremely severe periorbital swelling, conjunctival hyperemia and chemosis, soft tissue involvement should not be sufficient to define the disease as severe. Soft tissue manifestations are, however, relevant to assess the activity of the disease (see below) and for the perception of the disease by the patient. In addition, soft tissue involvement is rarely isolated in severe eye disease and, under most circumstances, is associated with some of the other expressions of the disease.

The ophthalmopathy may be considered severe also on the basis of an overall evaluation of ocular involvement, even though the individual parameters may not be necessarily severe (Table 1). For example, a patient with mild degrees of proptosis (19–20 mm), especially if progressive, inconstant diplopia (i.e., not present in primary or reading gaze positions), subclinical evidence of optic neuropathy, and mild-to-moderate degrees of inflammatory (or congestive) soft tissue involvement has an overall ocular situation that can be considered as (moderately) severe. It is evident that this kind of assessment of GO severity is somehow arbitrary and may need to be reconsidered and validated by expanding the use of quantitative measures. Subjective symptoms, for the reasons discussed above, cannot be neglected in the overall assessment of eye status.

A different concept refers to the activity of the disease. The natural history of GO is not completely understood, but it seems that the ophthalmopathy undergoes an initial, active phase of progressive exacerbation, followed by a subsequent partial regression and a static, inactive phase in which the residual manifestations of the disease (e.g., proptosis, strabismus due to fibrotic changes of the extraocular muscles) are unlikely to show any further substantial change (16). If this model is correct, it is evident that the activity of the ophthalmopathy is neither synonymous nor coincident with the severity of the disease. In other words, an individual patient...
may have severe ocular manifestations, but the disease may have run its course (Fig. 3). To assess the activity of the ophthalmopathy, Mourits and co-workers (80) proposed a clinical activity score (CAS), which in its original formulation included 10 different items (Table 2), mainly, but not solely, reflecting inflammatory changes: giving one point to each manifestation, a score is obtained, with a range from 0 (no activity) to 10 (highest activity). This group recently evaluated the usefulness of this score in predicting the outcome of either radiotherapy or oral prednisone treatment in patients with moderately severe GO (81). They found a significantly higher CAS in the 22 responders than in the 21 nonresponders: using a cut-off point of 4, CAS could accurately predict the outcome of therapy, since 12 of 15 patients with CAS > 4 (80%) had a favorable outcome of treatment (81). It should, however, be pointed out that 10 of 22 responders (45%) had a CAS of 4 or less (81). Thus, it would appear that, while a high CAS is usually predictive of a good response to treatment, a low CAS does not necessarily rule out a possible favorable outcome of therapy. A slightly modified CAS, which does not include some of the items originally proposed by Mourits et al. (80), was indicated by an ad hoc committee of the four Thyroid Societies as a tool to record ocular changes over time after treatment of ophthalmopathy (82) (Table 2). Gorman (83) recently stated that the activity of the ophthalmopathy is indeed difficult to define, and some of the items included in the calculation of CAS, such as periorbital swelling, caruncle edema, and chemosis, may well reflect congestion rather than inflammation.

Other indicators of disease activity have been proposed (Table 3). Prummel et al. (84) evaluated the internal reflectivity of eye muscles on A-mode ultrasonography in a series of 16 patients with moderately severe and untreated GO. They found that GO patients had lower reflectivity than control subjects; among GO patients, reflectivity was lower in responders to immunosuppressive treatment than in nonresponders, and a value of 40% or less appeared to have a high (73%) positive predictive value of subsequent response to treatment (84). The true significance of these findings awaits confirmation in more extensive studies.

Kahaly and co-workers (85–87) reported, in a series of studies, that patients with active GO have increased plasma concentration and urinary excretion of GAG that tend to decrease after immunosuppressive management of the disease. The value of urine or plasma GAG determination in the assessment of GO activity, however, remains to be precisely defined.

A prolongation of T2 relaxation time at MRI has been found in GO patients with active eye disease, and the response to immunosuppressive therapy has been associated with a decrease in this parameter (88). However, the MRI signal recognizes fluid accumulation and does not necessarily reflect inflammation.

After the initial report by Postema et al. (89) concerning the orbital uptake of [111In]octreotide in GO patients, some groups have applied this receptor-mediated scintigraphy (octreoscan) to the evaluation of the disease. While some (89, 90) but not all studies (91–93) found a higher uptake of the tracer in patients with more severe forms of ophthalmopathy, all studies indicated a relationship between the octreoscan positivity and the activity of the disease: the orbital octreotide accumulation was higher in patients with active ophthalmopathy than in those with inactive eye disease (90, 91), implying also that GO patients with positive octreoscans might have a successful outcome of medical therapy. In this regard, a positive predictive value of 90 –92% has been reported (94, 95). In addition, successful management of ophthalmopathy has been associated with a decrease in orbital octreotide uptake (90, 93). However, as recently pointed out by Wiersinga et al. (96), data on the accuracy and precision of this expensive and rather nonspecific technique are too limited to propose it as a mandatory indicator of GO activity or as a tool to identify patients prone to respond to immunomodulatory treatment.

Thus, the reliability of the proposed indicators of activity of GO (CAS, internal eye muscle reflectivity at ultrasound, GAG determination in urine or plasma, T2 relaxation time at MRI, positive octreoscan) must still be demonstrated with certainty. Gorman (83) stated that it may be preferable to define measurable attributes (lid fissure width, range of ex-
TABLE 2. Clinical activity score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original formulation$^a$</th>
<th>Revised formulation$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Painful, oppressive feeling on or behind the globe</td>
<td>CAS of 4 or more</td>
<td>Spontaneous retrobulbar pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain on attempted up, side, or down gaze</td>
<td>EMR of 40% or less</td>
<td>Pain on eye movements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redness of the eyelids</td>
<td>Increased levels</td>
<td>Eyelid erythema</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse redness of the conjunctiva</td>
<td>Prolonged T2 relaxation time</td>
<td>Conjunctival injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemosis</td>
<td>Thyroid 8:429–432, 1998</td>
<td>Chemosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swollen caruncle</td>
<td>Thyroid 5:185–193, 1995</td>
<td>Swelling of the caruncle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edema of the eyelids</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eyelid edema or fullness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase of 2 mm or more in proptosis in the last 1–3 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in visual acuity in the last 1–3 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in eye movements of 5 degrees or more in the last 1–3 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ According to Mourits et al. (80).

$^b$ As proposed by an ad hoc committee of the four Thyroid Sister Societies (82). The clinical activity score is calculated by assigning one point to each manifestation and summing the points.

TABLE 3. Proposed indicators of the activity of Graves' ophthalmopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Active GO</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical activity score (CAS)</td>
<td>CAS of 4 or more</td>
<td>Br J Ophthalmol 73:639–644, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye muscle reflectivity (EMR) on A-mode ultrasonography</td>
<td>EMR of 40% or less</td>
<td>Ophthalmology 100:556–561, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycosaminoglycan (GAG) serum or urine concentration</td>
<td>Increased levels</td>
<td>Thyroid 8:429–432, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 relaxation time at MRI</td>
<td>Prolonged T2 relaxation time</td>
<td>Thyroid 5:185–193, 1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Even though the pathogenetic mechanisms of GO are still incompletely understood, the resulting changes occurring in the orbit, i.e., the swelling of retrobulbar fibroadipose tissue and extraocular muscles and the dysfunction of extraocular muscles, can readily explain the clinical expression of eye disease (4). Proptosis, which can be considered a sort of “nature’s decompression” (83), causes stare and, together with lid retraction, exposure keratitis responsible for foreign body/gritty sensation, pain, lacrimation, and photophobia. Extraocular muscle dysfunction causes restriction of eye movements with diplopia and blurring of vision. The increased orbital content may lead to optic nerve compression, with impaired color vision and decreased visual acuity. Inflammation, but also the venous engorgement suggested by the increased size of the superior ophthalmic vein (97, 98), coincide with periorbital swelling.

If this is the mechanical basis of GO, treatment should be aimed either at reducing the volume of the orbital content or at increasing the available space in the orbit. The former (“medical decompression”) utilizes drugs (e.g., glucocorticoids) or treatments (e.g., orbital radiotherapy) that may reduce the ongoing inflammation by nonspecific actions or by intervening in the putative causes of the disease. Surgical decompression is not intended to act on the etiology of ophthalmopathy, but only on the mechanical effects of eye disease. Supporters of medical decompression underscore the possibility that medical treatment may avoid surgery or reduce the activity of inflammation so as to improve the outcome of subsequent surgery (99). Supporters of surgical decompression emphasize the immediate effectiveness of surgery and the not-infrequent failure or partial effectiveness of conservative approaches. The choice between medical and surgical decompression, in addition to the assessment of GO activity, ultimately depends on several considerations. These include the local availability of experienced orbit surgeons or skillful radiotherapists, the existence of contraindications to glucocorticoid treatment, and the lack of a prompt response of sight-threatening manifestations, such as optic neuropathy, to medical treatment. It should be pointed out that selection of surgical decompression does not exclude the sub-
sequent need for glucocorticoids or orbital radiotherapy to eliminate the disease. On the other hand, selection of medical decompression does not preclude the subsequent utilization of surgical decompression if functional and/or rehabilitative results are not satisfactory.

In a recent survey of members of the European Thyroid Association, the majority of European thyroidologists selected glucocorticoid treatment for the index case, and 23% preferred orbital radiotherapy, but orbital decompression was not widely indicated as the first-line treatment (100). Surgical treatment is preferred by prestigious institutions, such as the Mayo Clinic, with a wide experience in this approach. As pointed out in a recent commentary, the wide divergence in the therapeutic approach to GO reflects also the current lack of knowledge as to the best practice (101). It is conceivable that the development of guidelines for the treatment of the eye disease by an ad hoc international committee might make the approach to the management of this disease more uniform and standardized, and improve the outcome of therapy, either medical or surgical. However, more numerous controlled and randomized studies on a large number of patients are necessary before such consensus guidelines can be developed.

C. How to assess the effects of treatment?

A great deal of controversy on the results of the treatment of GO reported in the different series depends on several factors. Many available studies have been retrospective and might have introduced biases in the selection of patients, in particular because of the frequent lack of control groups. Control groups of patients not receiving a given treatment are essential to rule out the possibility that observed ocular changes are related to the natural history of the disease. The enrollment of patients with different degrees of severity or activity of the ophthalmopathy might also have contributed to conflicting results.

Of greatest importance is the manner in which the effects of treatment have been assessed in the different series. In many past studies, the assessment of treatment outcome was based mostly on variations of exophthalmometer readings, while other relevant expressions of eye disease were discounted. A substantial improvement was provided by Donaldson et al. (102), who introduced a numerical score (ophthalmopathy index, OI) based on the NOSPECS [N, No signs or symptoms; O, only signs, no symptoms; S, soft tissue involvement; P, proptosis; E, extraocular muscle involvement; C, corneal involvement; S, sight loss (due to optic nerve involvement)] classification of eye changes of Graves’ disease. In this numerical system each class of eye changes received a score from 0 to 3 according to the degree of involvement; after the scores were added, an OI was derived, ranging from 0 to 15 (102). For many years and in many studies, the OI represented the tool for the assessment of the effects of different treatments. Although it undoubtedly represented a major advancement toward a standardization of ocular evaluation, the OI was then criticized, owing to its intrinsic limitations. These included 1) its high dependence on subjective, rather than objective, evaluation; 2) the same weight given to eye manifestations of different severity and danger (e.g., soft tissue changes vs. optic neuropathy); 3) the difficulty in recording subtle changes in the different categories of eye involvement; and 4) the fact that patients do not progress from one class to another in a sequential fashion. In other studies, different numerical scores have been used, such as the total eye score, which gave different weight to the various items (103). Alternatively, clinical responses received an overall evaluation (excellent, good, fair, no responses) (102). However, these approaches did not completely solve the problem of standardization of ocular assessment and of the evaluation of treatment results.

An ad hoc committee of the four Thyroid Sister Societies (82) proposed a revised classification of eye changes of Graves’ disease, in which the criteria to evaluate the different classes of eye involvement were indicated. Most parameters received a quantitative evaluation, although there was some space left for semi-quantitative (CAS) or qualitative (patient’s self-assessment) criteria (80). Most importantly, it was stated that 1) the NOSPECS classification could be maintained as a mnemonic aid for bedside evaluation of the patient; and 2) NOSPECS-derived numerical scores should no longer be used for reporting results of treatment, which should be described by specific and separate measurements derived from the revised classification of GO. This classification has not been revised since 1992 and needs to be validated. However, among other views, Gorman (83) is of the opinion that, because the proximate causes of GO are the swelling of extraocular muscles and retrobulbar fibro-adipose tissue, and the shortening and restricted contraction of extraocular muscles, assessment of the effects of treatment should basically rely on some relevant measurements, i.e., volume of extraocular muscles, volume of retrobulbar fibro-adipose tissue, proptosis, lid fissure width, range of extraocular motion on perimeter, and quantitation of diplopia fields. Other parameters, such as optic nerve function, periorbital edema, conjunctival injection, and chemosis, although useful to appraise the clinical effectiveness of treatment, should, in Gorman’s opinion, be regarded as secondary to the above proximate causes of GO (83). The validity of the CAS has also been criticized because of the difficulty in defining the activity of the disease (83) or the inclusion of symptoms or less readily assessable signs (104). These issues should represent a matter of argument for a new consensus on GO.

To summarize, recent years have witnessed an improvement in the assessment of ocular changes after treatment, owing to the introduction of more objective, quantitative measurements. This trend toward quantitation of changes should be further enhanced and encouraged, ideally as the result of a new consensus among international experts in this field. The standardization of ocular evaluation is crucial for a correct assessment of the results of treatment.

IV. Management of Noneverse Graves’ Ophthalmopathy

Most patients with Graves’ disease have mild ocular manifestations that do not require any aggressive treatment. In these cases the ophthalmologist can suggest simple local supportive measures that are usually sufficient to obtain
symptomatic relief until eye disease becomes inactive (Table 4 and Ref. 6). A change in sleep position and elevation of the bed may be of some help to reduce periorbital edema; however, it is open to debate whether diuretics, used in the past, are useful in this regard (105). Photophobia can be alleviated by the use of sunglasses; the foreign body/gritty sensation related to a defective tear film is usually controlled by the use of artificial tears or ointments (6). If lagophthalmos is present, as suggested by tearing and eye irritation in the morning, taping the eyelids shut during the night is useful to prevent nocturnal corneal drying (6). Guanethidine or β-blockers eye drops have been used for eyelid retraction (105). The long-term efficacy of guanethidine eye drops is questionable, and side effects, including ptosis, miosis, vasocongestion, and punctate keratitis, have been reported (105). β-Blocking eye drops have also been used with variable degrees of success. Prisms may be beneficial for correction of mild diplopia if they are tolerated by the patient (106). In this regard, stick-on prisms allow a greater flexibility than ordinary prisms.

Thus, in patients with nonsevere ophthalmopathy, the most important therapeutic measure is probably to reassure the patient that the chance that his/her ophthalmopathy progresses to more severe forms is very low and the chance of a spontaneous, although in many instances incomplete, regression is high. Elimination of controllable risk factors for the progression of the ophthalmopathy (e.g., smoking) may be very important, even though controlled trials on the effects of smoking withdrawal are lacking (see Section IX. B).

V. Management of Severe Graves’ Ophthalmopathy

Management of severe GO represents a difficult task that does not constantly provide favorable results. Recent years have seen the proposal of novel treatments that add to the list of established treatments (glucocorticoids, orbital radiotherapy, orbital decompression) (Table 5).

A. Established treatments

1. Glucocorticoids. Glucocorticoids represent a well established method of treatment of GO (107), employed in the management of this disease for more than 40 yr. The drug is often effective on GO owing to its antiinflammatory and immunosuppressive actions, including interference with the function of T and B lymphocytes, reduction in the recruitment of neutrophils, monocytes, and macrophages in the inflamed area, inhibition of the function of immunocompe-

**Table 4. Management of nonsevere Graves’ ophthalmopathy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapeutic measure</th>
<th>Sign and/or symptom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunglasses</td>
<td>Photophobia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial tears and ointments</td>
<td>Foreign body sensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-Blocking eye drops</td>
<td>Eyelid retraction; increased intraocular pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nocturnal taping of the eyes</td>
<td>Lagophthalmos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisms</td>
<td>Mild diplopia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correction of hyper- or hypothyroidism</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elimination of risk factors (smoking)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reassurance on the natural history of the disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5. Management of severe Graves’ ophthalmopathy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Established methods</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucocorticoids</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Intravenous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Supervoltage orbital radiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyelid surgery</td>
<td>Rehabilitation surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraocular muscle surgery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Novel treatments under investigation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smotatin Analouges</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octreotide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lanreotide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous immunoglobulins</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonestablished methods</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclosporine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmapheresis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anecdotal treatments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromocriptine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metrodinazole</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Combination therapy of cyclosporine and prednisone has been shown to be significantly more effective than either monotherapy; this combined treatment may, therefore, be regarded as a second line, alternative method, especially in patients with diabetes mellitus in whom both high doses of glucocorticoids and orbital radiotherapy should be used with caution.

tent cells, and inhibition of the release of mediators, including cytokines (108). In addition, glucocorticoids decrease GAG synthesis and secretion by orbital fibroblasts (109, 110).

Glucocorticoids have been used in GO through different routes: oral, local (retrobulbar or subconjunctival), and, more recently, intravenous (6). Oral glucocorticoids have usually been employed at high doses (prednisone, 60–100 mg/day, or equivalent doses of other steroids) and for prolonged periods of time (several months) (6). Many studies have documented a high effectiveness of high-dose oral glucocorticoids on soft tissue changes and optic neuropathy, whereas the decrease in proptosis and the improvement in ocular motility have not always been impressive (1, 6, 108). Recurrence of active eye disease is a rather frequent problem with oral glucocorticoid therapy, not only when the drug is withdrawn, but also when its dose is tapered down (6). Interestingly, in one study the rate of recurrence was abated when cyclosporine was administered concomitantly with and after glucocorticoid therapy (111). Prummel and co-workers (112) reported that the percentage of a cohort of patients with moderately severe ophthalmopathy who responded successfully to prednisone therapy (14/28, 50%) was not significantly different from that of patients who had favorable responses to orbital radiotherapy alone (13/28, 46%). In summary, favorable effects of high-dose oral glucocorticoids are reported in slightly more than 60% of cases (range, 40–100%) (Table 6).

In the last 10 yr or so, glucocorticoids have also been used intravenously (Table 7), by the acute administration of high doses of methylprednisolone acetate (0.5–1 g) at different intervals. The cumulative dose of steroid ranges 1–21 g in different studies. In general, favorable effects have been observed on inflammatory signs and optic nerve involvement, whereas the effects on extraocular muscle involvement, and especially proptosis, have not been constantly impressive.
Mori et al. (113) noted that methylprednisolone acetate treatment (1 g for 3 days repeated twice) was more effective in patients with severe ophthalmopathy than in those with mild disease, especially in those with the highest TSH-R antibody levels before treatment. Interestingly, in an uncontrolled study, the positivity of the orbital scintigraphy with [111In-diethylenetriamine-pentaacetic acid-Phe1]octreotide appeared to predict the subsequent favorable outcome of glucocorticoid therapy (114).

As summarized in Tables 6 and 7 and in Fig. 4, the results seem to indicate a higher percentage of favorable results in patients treated with intravenous glucocorticoids, compared with patients treated with oral glucocorticoids. However, results must be interpreted with caution for several reasons. First, in almost all published series, intravenous glucocorticoids have been associated with, in the interpulse periods, or followed by (often prolonged) treatment with oral glucocorticoids, making it difficult to ascertain the relative role of the two treatments. In addition, in some studies orbital radiotherapy or azathioprine treatment has been associated with intravenous glucocorticoids. Second, no randomized, prospective study has thus far compared directly the two modalities of glucocorticoid administration. Finally, biases in the selection of patients, especially concerning different degrees of disease activity and duration, might have influenced the reported results. Therefore, randomized, prospective studies are needed to address the problem of whether the intravenous route actually provides advantages over the oral route, not only in terms of effectiveness, but also in terms of tolerability, side effects, and complications.

A major drawback of systemic glucocorticoid therapy is indeed represented by its possible side effects and complications. Apart from the very common, although transient, cushingoid features, adverse effects, such as diabetes, depression, reactivation of chronic diseases, infections, hypertension, osteoporosis, increased body weight, peptic ulcer, hirsutism, and cataract, have been reported during prolonged glucocorticoid therapy for GO (6), although their precise prevalence is uncertain. In a series of 28 patients receiving oral prednisone treatment, major side effects (severe depression, recurrent herpes zoster infection) occurred in 2 patients, and moderate side effects (hypertension, severe pyrosis, hirsutism, behavioral changes, weight gain, cushingoid face) occurred in 18 (112).

This prompted the evaluation of local (retrobulbar or sub-conjunctival) glucocorticoid therapy. After discrepant results of uncontrolled studies, in a prospective study we submitted 44 patients to combined treatment with orbital radiotherapy...
and retrobulbar glucocorticoids (14 injections of 40 mg methylprednisolone acetate at 20- to 30-day intervals): excellent or good results were observed only in 11 patients (25%) compared with 60% of favorable responses in patients receiving oral glucocorticoids (115). As illustrated in Fig. 4, the overall results of local glucocorticoid therapy appear less satisfactory than those obtained with the systemic administration of steroids. However, side effects are limited to transient ocular discomfort or pain; rare cases of conjunctival hemorrhages have been reported. Thus, local glucocorticoid therapy may be considered in patients with active ophthalmopathy and with major contraindications to the systemic administration of glucocorticoids (6).

In summary, glucocorticoids remain a fundamental therapeutic tool for GO. Glucocorticoids are particularly effective on active disease, soft tissue inflammatory changes, and optic neuropathy, but also on extrascleral muscle dysfunction (if not associated with fibrotic changes). Proptosis appears to be influenced to a lesser extent by this treatment. A substantial proportion of patients (20–40%) respond only partially or do not respond at all to glucocorticoid treatment. It is conceivable that the effectiveness of treatment may be improved by properly selecting patients who are prone to have beneficial results, i.e., those with a high degree of disease activity, with ophthalmopathy of recent onset and/or with evidence of recent progression of eye involvement. If glucocorticoids are selected, the systemic route appears more effective than the local route, although it is more frequently associated with adverse effects. Intravenous administration appears to bear advantages over the oral administration in terms of effectiveness and possibly of side effects, but this remains to be proven by randomized studies. Since recurrences are not infrequent when the drug is tapered or withdrawn, glucocorticoid treatment needs to be continued for several months.

2. Orbital radiotherapy. External radiotherapy has been used for GO for almost 60 yr and still represents a mainstay in the management of the disease (116). It was initially directed to the hypothalamus and the pituitary, based on the assumption that the ophthalmopathy might be due to an exophthalmogenic factor of pituitary origin or to hypothalamic dysfunction (116). Subsequently, irradiation was correctly directed to the orbital tissue, the true target of the pathological process.

The rationale for the use of radiotherapy for GO resides both in its nonspecific antiinflammatory effect and in the high radiosensitivity of lymphocytes infiltrating the orbital space (117). Lymphocytes are generally suppressed with relatively low doses of radiation, and the helper/suppressor T lymphocyte ratio is also altered by radiotherapy (116). In addition, radiotherapy might also reduce GAG production by orbital fibroblasts (118). Whether the reported effectiveness of orbital radiotherapy in GO is related either to its nonspecific antiinflammatory action, or to specific immunosuppressive effects, or both remains to be clarified.

Many of the limitations encountered with the old orthovoltage apparatus, such as the low energy and the relevant side scatter of irradiation, were overcome by the introduction of high-energy apparatus (cobalt unit and, especially, linear accelerator), which allowed a better collimation, a limited side scatter, and low penumbra (119). Donaldson and co-workers (102) were the first to use a 4–6-megavolt linear accelerator in a group of 23 patients with severe GO, who had an OI ranging from 4 to 12. Excellent or good results were obtained in 15 patients (65%), even in those who had previously responded poorly to systemic glucocorticoid treatment; results were less favorable in patients with longstanding eye disease and more frequently satisfactory in patients with a rapid progression of eye disease (102). While all categories of ocular manifestations responded to radiotherapy, long lasting extraocular muscle involvement appeared to be least responsive (102). Beneficial effects, especially on soft tissue changes and optic neuropathy, have been reported in other subsequent studies, while the reduction in proptosis and the improvement in ocular motility, especially if longstanding, have often been less impressive (120). In a large series of 311 patients treated with different radiation doses, factors that apparently influenced the outcome of radiotherapy in a negative manner included male gender, advanced age, need for concomitant treatment of hyperthyroidism, and no history of hyperthyroidism (121).

At present, most centers utilize linear accelerators delivering 4–6 megavolts and use a 4 × 4-cm lateral field slightly angled posteriorly to avoid as much as possible irradiation to the contralateral lens. The use of higher energy sources have not proven to be particularly advantageous. The most common delivered dose is 20 grays (Gy) per eye (117); this cumulative dose is usually fractionated in 10 daily doses over a 2-week period to reduce the cataractogenic effect of irradiation (116). Nakahara et al. (122) reported that a cumulative dose of 24 Gy provided better results than a dose of 10 Gy. Recently, Kahaly et al. (123) reported that a therapeutic scheme of 1 Gy per week over a 20-week period was equally effective and possibly better tolerated than the classical 2-week scheme. The use of higher cumulative doses of radiation (30 Gy vs. 20 Gy) does not produce any increase in the effectiveness of treatment (121). Thus, at present it would...
appear that the dose of 20 Gy should be considered the optimal dose for orbital radiotherapy of GO.

Orbital radiotherapy is usually well tolerated. It may be associated with a transient exacerbation of inflammatory eye signs and symptoms (102), but this is unlikely to occur if glucocorticoids are concomitantly administered (124). Cataract is a possible complication of irradiation to the lens, but fractionation of the dose should maintain the radiation exposure of the lens below the threshold dose for radiation-induced cataract (116). Radiation retinopathy is an extremely rare complication of radiotherapy (125); probably errors in dosage calculation and radiation technique account for most of the few reported cases (126), but some cases remain unexplained (127). Systemic microvascular disease due to diabetes mellitus or to previous chemotherapy may increase the risk for radiation retinopathy. Tallstedt et al. (128) reported increased retinopathy in all 3 patients who had diabetic retinopathy before radiotherapy. Thus, although there is no consensus view on this issue, it is reasonable to regard this condition as a contraindication to orbital radiotherapy for GO (117). Transient blindness attributed to nonvascular involvement of the optic nerve was reported in one patient (129).

A major concern relates to the possibility that orbital radiotherapy may be carcinogenic. Snijders-Keilholz et al. (130) calculated a theoretical risk of 1.2% for the occurrence of secondary tumors. This view is not shared by other authors (131), who estimated a theoretical risk of 0.3%. A proper answer to this question should be provided by a careful reevaluation of patients with a long (15–20 yr or more) follow-up period after irradiation. So far, no case of secondary tumor after orbital radiotherapy for GO has been reported in the literature (117). Nevertheless, it seems prudent to avoid irradiation in young (possibly <30 yr) patients.

In summary, the available studies have reported, with few exceptions, overall favorable effects of orbital irradiation in about 60% of GO patients (Table 8). It should be mentioned that in a recent double-blind prospective study in which 42 patients received orbital radiotherapy only to one orbit, no significant differences were observed between the treated and the untreated orbit (132). It is possible that these negative results are related to the selection of patients, because only patients with mild-to-moderate GO were enrolled (132).

According to most studies, orbital radiotherapy is especially effective on soft tissue inflammatory changes and recent extraocular muscle involvement, but also on optic neuropathy. It should be mentioned that the effects of orbital radiotherapy may take several days to weeks to become manifest. Accordingly, orbital radiotherapy, especially if used alone, may not represent the ideal treatment in patients with optic nerve compression and increasing visual field loss. Proptosis is often scarcely responsive to irradiation. As for glucocorticoids, orbital radiotherapy is more effective in patients who have active eye disease with recent progression. Orbital radiotherapy, when properly performed, appears to be a safe procedure with limited side effects.

### Table 8. Results of supervoltage orbital radiotherapy for Graves’ ophthalmopathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Responders</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donaldson</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covington</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trobe</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teng</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grauthoff</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fritsch</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yamamoto</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brennan</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurbli</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Ouwerkerk</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivotto</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigeon</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palmer</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wiersinga</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandler</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appelqvist</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marcocci</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lloyd</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prummel</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakahara</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seegenschmidt</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. **Orbital radiotherapy combined with glucocorticoids.** Orbital radiotherapy and systemic glucocorticoids can be used for GO either alone or in combination. Prummel and co-workers (112) reported in a randomized study that orbital radiotherapy and oral glucocorticoids, used as a single therapeutic agent, had similar effectiveness on the ophthalmopathy. This led the Dutch group to propose that orbital radiotherapy be considered the treatment of choice in patients with moderately severe GO, i.e., without sight-threatening manifestations, in view of its better tolerability compared with glucocorticoid therapy (133). In two randomized, prospective studies we showed that orbital radiotherapy combined with high-dose oral glucocorticoids was more effective than either orbital radiotherapy alone (134) or oral glucocorticoids alone (124). In addition to these synergistic effects, the combined
regimen exploits the prompter effects of glucocorticoids and the more sustained action of irradiation. The inclusion of glucocorticoids prevents radiation-associated transient exacerbation of ocular manifestations, while the inclusion of orbital radiotherapy probably reduces the prevalence of recurrences of eye disease, not infrequently observed when glucocorticoids are withdrawn. Thus, we suggest that in patients with severe GO, defined according to the criteria indicated in Table 1, this combined therapeutic regimen should be employed, if conservative therapy, rather than orbital decompression, is selected.

4. Orbital decompression. Orbital decompression is, with glucocorticoid therapy and orbital radiotherapy, a milestone in the treatment of GO. Its aim is to provide, through the removal of part of the bony components of the orbit, an increased space for the increased orbital content (1). Although it does not act on the pathogenetic mechanisms of the ophthalmopathy, it is very effective on proptosis and on the other ocular manifestations caused by venous congestion.

In the past, the surgical approach to the treatment of GO was limited by the risks of surgery and, therefore, indications for decompression were mostly represented by marked proptosis and by optic nerve compression, especially if no beneficial effect was obtained with glucocorticoids and/or orbital radiotherapy. In reviewing the results of 428 consecutive eye surgery patients at the Mayo Clinic, Garrity et al. (135) noted that the indications for orbital decompression were: optic neuropathy in 217 patients (51%), severe orbital inflammation in 116 (27%), proptosis in 90 (21%), and glucocorticoid side effects in 5 (1%). However, rehabilitative (cosmetic) surgery represented the indication for orbital decompression in several studies (136–138), as well as in 20% of cases in a survey of American ophthalmologists (139).

Several techniques, aimed at removing portions of one to four walls of the orbit, have been used (1). The four-wall technique is rarely used and may be considered in cases of very severe ophthalmopathy. The lateral approach is of limited effectiveness, because the removal of the lateral wall alone is usually associated with a limited decrease in proptosis (1). The superior (transfrontal) approach removes the roof of the orbit and is effective, but nowadays it is rarely used because of the risks associated with this procedure, i.e., intracerebral hemorrhage, damage to the frontal lobe, meningitis, and sensation of pulsation behind the globes (140).

The inferior (transantral) approach is still very popular. This technique has been modified to remove also the lateral wall of the orbit, although it is mainly aimed at the floor and the medial wall of the orbit (140). In a large series from the Mayo Clinic, Garrity et al. (135) reported that 402 of 453 eyes (89%) with visual acuity worse than 20/20 improved or remained the same; defects of the visual field ameliorated or regressed in 245 of 269 eyes (91%), preoperative papilledema was reduced in 99 of 105 eyes (94%), and preoperative exposure keratitis improved in 178 of 195 eyes (92%). The average decrease in proptosis was 4.7 mm and was sustained over an extended follow-up period (135). This technique has the advantage that there is no external scar, and decompression of the orbital apex, where the optic nerve is mostly compressed, is very effective (140). Complications are not infrequent. In a large series (135), sinusitis occurred in 18 patients (4%), lower eyelid entropion in 38 (9%), numb lip in 23 (5%), cerebrospinal fluid leakage in 15 patients (3.5%), and frontal lobe hematoma in 1 (0.2%). The major drawback of this procedure is the high incidence of postoperative diplopia, which may affect up to two thirds of patients with no diplopia before surgery (135). Nunery et al. (141) noted that postoperative diplopia was rare among patients who had no preoperative diplopia (1 of 25 patients, 4%), while worsening of preexisting diplopia was very frequent (22 of 36 patients, 61%). Tallstedt (140) noted an incidence of new postoperative diplopia in 32 of 63 patients (51%) operated on by the transantral approach at the Karolinska Hospital.

Removal of the floor and the medial wall can also be accomplished by an anterior approach through a transconjunctival or translid incision (140). This approach appears to be associated with a lower incidence of worsening of diplopia, because it is more difficult to remove the posterior part of the ethmoid, thus avoiding the prolapse of the posterior portion of the orbital content (140). In a review of American ophthalmologists, this technique was associated with a 6% worsening of diplopia, compared with 41% after transantral decompression (139). Postoperative worsening of diplopia was observed in 5 of 33 patients (15%) evaluated by Hutchinson and Kyle (142) after a two-wall operation using the translid approach. The translid approach is simpler and less morbid than the transantral technique, but it seems to be associated with a lower recession of the proptosis (143).

Removal of portions of three walls (floor, medial, and lateral walls) can be accomplished by either combining the transantral (or translid) decompression with lateral decompression or by the coronal approach. In the latter, a skin muscle incision is made from ear to ear 1 cm behind the hair border; after incision of the periosteum, the subgaleal flap is turned down to the supraorbital rim, the periorbita is incised in all quadrants, and then the lateral wall, most of the ethmoid, and the medial portion of the floor are removed (138). This technique was reported to be associated with a greater reduction of proptosis and lower prevalence of postoperative diplopia compared with the transantral technique (138). It was observed that balancing the decompression and preserving the medial orbital strut between the ethmoid sinus and the orbital floor may minimize the risk of postoperative diplopia (144). In a large, retrospective study (138), the mean decrease in proptosis was 4.3 mm (range 0–10 mm), the largest reduction being observed in those patients who had the highest (>27 mm) preoperative Hertel readings. Complications were limited to 13 cases (10%), including damage of the infraorbital (n = 2) or supraorbital (n = 1) nerves, temporary unilateral hypesthesia (n = 6), enophthalmos (n = 1), and asymmetry (n = 3) (138). Thus, it seems that the coronal decompression technique is safe and effective and bears a low risk of postoperative diplopia compared with the two-wall transantral approach. In patients with severe optic nerve involvement, it may be less advantageous than the transantral technique, because the orbital apex is less effectively decompressed by the coronal approach.

A different approach to decompress the orbital content may be represented by the removal of orbital fat through medial-upper and lateral-lower anterior orbitotomy. An av-
average decrease in proptosis of 1.8 mm was found (range 0–6 mm), the largest average reduction (3.3 mm) being observed in patients with preoperative Hertel readings greater than 25 mm (145). Side effects were limited to temporary motility impairment of the inferior oblique muscle in two patients (145). With few exceptions (146, 147), this procedure seems to produce a rather limited decrease in proptosis. In mild to moderate cases, orbital lipectomy was associated with eyelid surgery, with good esthetic and functional improvement and no complications (148).

In summary, orbital decompression is a very effective therapeutic procedure for GO. It is beneficial for most expressions of the disease, with particular regard to proptosis and optic neuropathy, but also to congestive manifestations of the disease. The choice between medical and surgical treatment of ophthalmopathy relies, among other factors, on the availability of a skillful orbit surgeon. Increasing expertise in this field has expanded the indications for orbital decompression, which is currently carried out not only for sight-threatening conditions, but also for rehabilitative purposes. The selection of the different surgical techniques depends not only on the experience of the orbit surgeon, but also on the clinical situation of the patient. If optic nerve compression is severe, the transantral approach is probably better, because it allows a more marked decompression of the nerve at the orbital apex. The three-wall coronal approach is preferable in patients who do not have severe optic neuropathy and do not have preoperative diplopia, because the risk of new postoperative diplopia is lower than with the transantral approach. Irrespective of the surgical technique, orbital decompression does not solve the problem of preoperative diplopia, and a relevant proportion of patients will need extraocular muscle correction surgery (see below).

B. Nonestablished treatments

1. Cyclosporine. The autoimmune origin of GO prompted the attempt to use immunosuppressive drugs for this disease. The experience is, however, limited to small series of patients treated with azathioprine, cyclophosphamide, or the immunomodulatory agent, ciamexone, usually in uncontrolled trials (6).

The immunosuppressive drug that has been more thoroughly evaluated in the management of GO is cyclosporine. This drug affects both humoral and cell-mediated immune reactions, since it inhibits cytotoxic T cell activation and antigen presentation by monocytes and macrophages, but it also induces activation of T suppressor cells and inhibits production of cytokines (149). Although cyclosporine seems to be more effective on the early immune response (e.g., after organ transplantation) than on an already established immune response (e.g., in autoimmune disease), the above actions might explain the observed effectiveness in autoimmune diseases, especially if of recent onset (150).

Several reports have evaluated the effectiveness of cyclosporine administration in GO. Although the initial report showed a dramatic improvement of ocular conditions in 2 patients treated with the drug (151), these positive effects of cyclosporine were not uniformly confirmed in later studies (6). In a controlled, randomized, and prospective study, Kahaly et al. (111) compared the effects of oral prednisone with those of oral prednisone combined with cyclosporine; prednisone was stopped in both groups after 10 weeks. Inflammation regressed in both groups, but proptosis decreased more in the cyclosporine-prednisone group; likewise, diplopia ameliorated more effectively in this group (111). In addition, the favorable effects of treatment were more persistent in the cyclosporine-prednisone group, since only 1 of the 20 patients of this group had a relapse compared with 8 patients in the other group (111). Side effects attributable to cyclosporine were rather frequent in this study, including one case of Klebsiella pneumonia, four cases of hypertension, four cases of increased liver enzymes, and several minor effects, such as hirsutism, paresthesias, and swelling of the gums; however, all appeared to be reversible (111).

In the other randomized trial on the effects of cyclosporine on GO, two groups of 18 patients each were treated with either cyclosporine or prednisone (103). During the 12-week period of treatment, a response, as assessed by a decrease in the extraocular muscle enlargement, a decrease in proptosis, an improvement in visual acuity, and a decrease in total eye score, was observed in 11 patients treated with prednisone and only in 4 patients treated with cyclosporine (103). Interestingly, retreatment of nonresponders of both groups with a combination of the two drugs (using a lower dosage of prednisone) was often associated with a therapeutic response (103). In this study, cyclosporine was better tolerated than prednisone, but 6 cases of hypertension, 1 case of diverticulitis requiring drug withdrawal, and 1 case of irreversible rise in serum creatinine levels could be attributed to cyclosporine (103).

In summary, the use of cyclosporine has been reported in several studies, but only two of them (103, 111) were randomized and controlled. Thus, the favorable effects of cyclosporine reported in some uncontrolled studies must be interpreted with caution. The study by Prummel et al. (103) indicated a lower efficacy of cyclosporine compared with prednisone as a single-agent treatment, but both Prummel et al. (103) and Kahaly et al. (111) suggested that a combination of cyclosporine and prednisone may be more effective than either treatment alone. Thus, the use of cyclosporine might be maintained, in association with glucocorticoids, in patients who are resistant to glucocorticoids alone and in whom the persistent activity of the disease warrants a continuing medical intervention. Side effects of cyclosporine are not negligible; some of them can be severe, calling for caution in the use of this drug (e.g., doses lower than 7.5 mg/kg/day).

2. Plasmapheresis. The rationale for the use of plasmapheresis in the treatment of GO was represented by the assumption that this procedure might remove either immunoglobulins or immune complexes possibly involved in the pathogenesis of the disease, reproducing the beneficial effects observed in other autoimmune disorders. In addition, plasmapheresis might affect plasma viscosity and complement components. Favorable results with this procedure were observed, in the first report, in 4 of 7 patients with rapidly progressive ophthalmopathy; the three treatment failures were attributed to the long duration of the disease with the likely associated fibrotic changes (152). Likewise, Glinoe et al. (153) reported...
a marked clinical improvement in 8 of 9 patients, especially regarding soft tissue changes, proptosis, intraocular pressure, and visual acuity, with a significant decrease in the mean OI. The patients were submitted to 4 plasmapheresis sessions over a period of 5–8 days and were subsequently treated for 3–6 months with a combination of prednisolone and azathioprine; three patients had a recurrence of ophthalmopathy 1 yr after treatment, requiring a second course of plasmapheresis (153). Favorable results were also reported by Berlin et al. (154), who observed a reduction of the OI in 9 (69%) and of subjective symptoms in 10 (77%) of 13 patients; azathioprine was concomitantly administered to 8 patients, and 2 patients needed further sessions of plasmapheresis. In contrast, unfavorable effects of plasmapheresis were reported in other series (155, 156).

In summary, plasmapheresis provided conflicting results, since both favorable effects and treatment failures were reported. No study on the effects of plasmapheresis was randomized and controlled, and the interpretation of results is made even more difficult by the frequent concomitant (or subsequent) treatment with glucocorticoids or immunosuppressive drugs (azathioprine or cyclophosphamide). In addition, recurrences of eye disease requiring further courses of plasmapheresis were relatively frequent. Thus, plasmapheresis should be regarded as a "desperate" treatment for severe GO, when all other therapies have failed (157).

C. Novel treatments under investigation

1. Somatostatin analogs. As mentioned above (see Section III.C), somatostatin receptors can be visualized in vivo in the orbital tissue of GO patients by \[^{111}\text{In-DTPA-d-Phe}^1\]-octreotide scintigraphy (octreoscan) (158). Patients with active ophthalmopathy have a higher orbital uptake of the tracer than those with inactive ophthalmopathy (90), and uptake can be decreased by specific treatments of ophthalmopathy (89, 90). Thus, it was suggested that positive octreoscans might reflect the activity of the ophthalmopathy and predict its response to treatment (159).

The use of the somatostatin analog, octreotide, was first reported in GO patients by Chang et al. (160); in an uncontrolled study 6 patients were treated with octreotide (0.1 mg three times daily for 3 months), with an improvement of extraocular muscle function and soft tissue involvement (159). In a prospective study, Krassas et al. (161), using the same dose of the drug and the same duration of treatment, reported an improvement of ocular conditions in 6 of 12 patients in both eyes and in 1 patient in one eye, but in the remaining 5 patients eye disease was unaffected by treatment. It is worth noting that 7 of 8 control GO patients who were not treated with octreotide did not show any improvement, and 1 patient had a deterioration of eye disease during the follow-up period (161). Interestingly, octreoscan was positive in those patients who had a favorable therapeutic response, but negative in all but 1 patient in whom octreotide treatment was a failure (161).

In an open randomized study, Kung et al. (162) treated 8 GO patients with octreotide (0.6 mg/day for 3 months) and compared the effects of the drug with those achieved in a control group with glucocorticoids. Octreotide was effective in decreasing soft tissue inflammation and in ameliorating symptoms of the disease, but glucocorticoid treatment appeared to be associated with a greater reduction in the CAS and had a more marked effect on extraocular muscle size, as assessed by MRI (162). In an uncontrolled study, 10 patients were treated with octreotide (0.3 mg/day for 3 months) (163): although the authors claimed that 8 patients responded to this treatment, a critical reappraisal of their data seems to suggest that no more than 5 patients had a real improvement in ocular conditions, proptosis being only minimally affected (163). Amelioration of eye disease was associated with a decrease in serum intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) concentration, suggesting a reduced endothelial and fibroblast activation (163).

A drawback of octreotide is represented by its short half-life, which requires repeated injections during the day. To overcome this limitation, new long-acting somatostatin analogs have been developed. One of these, lanreotide, was recently employed at a dose of 40 mg im every other week for 3 months in 5 GO patients (164). Favorable responses were reported in all 5 patients, whereas only 1 of the 5 matched controls treated with placebo showed minor improvements of ocular conditions (164). Recently, the same research group reported in a nonrandomized study an improvement of ocular conditions in 10 patients with moderately severe GO (all selected among those with positive octreoscans): 5 patients were treated with octreotide (0.1 mg sc three times daily for 3 months), and 5 with lanreotide (30 mg im every 2 weeks for 3 months) (165). No differences were found with the 2 somatostatin analogs, whereas no improvement of eye disease occurred in 4 untreated GO patients (166).

In the above studies, side effects of somatostatin analog therapy were, in general, limited to mild gastrointestinal symptoms occurring during the first week of treatment.

The mechanism of action of somatostatin analogs is not fully understood. The interaction of the drug with the somatostatin receptors located on the surface of different cell types in the orbit might inhibit several important functions, such as the local release of insulin growth factor I (166) or cytokines (3), which appear to be relevant in triggering and/or maintaining the ongoing reactions in the orbital tissue of patients with ophthalmopathy.

In summary, the results of the few published series (Table 9) seem promising, and there is a rationale for the use of these drugs. However, the number of patients treated so far is too limited, and only one study was randomized. Therefore, it is difficult to draw definite and sound conclusions on the real effectiveness of somatostatin analogs, and properly controlled studies enrolling a larger number of patients (and possibly different doses of the drug) are needed. The high cost of this treatment must also be taken into account.

2. Intravenous immunoglobulins (IVIGs). High-dose IVIGs have been used effectively in a number of autoimmune diseases. Although their mechanism of action is incompletely understood, IVIGs might exert their beneficial actions by several mechanisms. These include blockade of idiotypic epitopes by antiidiotype antibodies, down-regulation of immunocompetent cells by suppression of Fc γ receptors, in-
hibitation of cytokine release or modulation of cytokine receptors, and solubilization of immune complexes. In addition, the beneficial effects of IVIGs in autoimmune disorders might be related to the fact that IVIG preparations contain transforming growth factor-β, an immunosuppressive cytokine, as well as other molecules, such as CD4 and shed surface molecules from lymphocytes (167).

In a randomized study, the effects of IVIGs either alone or in association with orbital radiotherapy were evaluated in two groups of 7 GO patients, and the results were compared with a “historical” group of 12 patients previously treated with oral glucocorticoids and orbital radiotherapy (168). IVIGs were administered at a dose of 400 mg/kg/day for 5 consecutive days; the cycle was repeated three times at 3-week intervals (168). Favorable results were reported in the 5 groups, with no significant differences among them; excellent/good clinical responses were observed in 71% of patients treated with IVIGs, independently of the addition of orbital irradiation; the prevalence of side effects was higher in patients treated with glucocorticoids (168).

In a subsequent randomized trial, the effect of IVIGs was compared with that of oral prednisolone (169); in this study 19 patients received 1 g IVIG/kg body weight for 2 consecutive days every 3 weeks for 20 weeks. The authors reported a similar percentage of successful treatments in the two groups (62% in the IVIG group, 63% in the prednisolone group); IVIG therapy was associated with a greater decrease in thyroid autoantibody titers and a lower prevalence of adverse effects (169).

In contrast to these favorable results, Seppel et al. (170) reported that in 10 patients treated with 4 g IVIG/day for 5 consecutive days for 5 times at 4-week intervals, no significant changes in ocular involvement occurred, since only 3 patients showed some decrease in the OI; in addition, no changes of CT findings were found (170).

Finally, the effects of IVIG and glucocorticoids were investigated in a prospective, nonrandomized study involving 34 patients (171). The percentage of patients responsive to treatment did not differ in the 2 groups (76% in the IVIG group, 66% in the glucocorticoid group), but the prevalence of adverse effects was higher in patients receiving glucocorticoids (171). In general, the above studies showed favorable effects on soft tissue inflammatory changes, proptosis, and extraocular muscle involvement.

In summary, positive results with the use of IVIGs were reported in three studies, two of which originated from the same group, while negative results were observed in one study. The number of patients so far treated is limited and only two studies were randomized. Thus, randomized and properly controlled studies involving a higher number of patients are needed to demonstrate unequivocally the effectiveness of this therapeutic approach. In addition, although the reported side effects were limited, the potential risks related to the use of plasma-derived products must always be kept in mind. Finally, the economic burden on health systems related to the high cost of this treatment must be taken into account.

D. Miscellaneous treatments

Bromocriptine has occasionally been reported to have beneficial effects in forms of GO resistant to conventional medical, radiotherapeutic, or surgical treatments (172, 173). This effect has been attributed to a possible antiproliferative effect on immunocompetent T lymphocytes. A few patients were found to derive a transient benefit from metrodinazole therapy (174). It is, however, difficult to establish the effectiveness of these agents from anecdotal reports on a limited number of patients in uncontrolled studies.

The beneficial effects of acupuncture, suggested by a Chinese study (175), were not confirmed in a more recent randomized study (176).

E. Rehabilitative surgery

Rehabilitative surgery plays an important role in the management of GO. We discussed in Section V.4 that orbital decompression has a role in the rehabilitation of GO patients. In addition, many patients require extraocular muscle or eyelid surgery to correct diplopia or eyelid retraction that have not been affected by medical or surgical treatment of the ophthalmopathy. Thus, rehabilitative surgery is crucial to improve not only the cosmetic appearance of the patient, but also the function of his/her eyes.

1. Extraocular muscle surgery. Extraocular muscle surgery is carried out with the aim of reducing diplopia. It is very difficult for diplopia to be corrected in all positions of gaze, and restoration of single binocular vision in the primary and reading positions must be considered a success. Timing of surgery is crucial, because it should not be carried out when GO is active and the muscle is inflamed, but when the muscle has undergone fibrotic changes and the disease has been inactive for 4–6 months (1, 6). It should be mentioned that a recent report evaluating 8 patients submitted to extraocular
muscle surgery during the active phase of GO and followed for 16 months thereafter showed that surgery may be followed by long-term alignment also in patients who do not have burnt out disease (177).

The inferior rectus is the muscle that most frequently needs corrective surgery, followed by the medial rectus, the superior rectus, and, rarely, the lateral rectus (178). Extraocular muscle surgery is carried out to recess the most affected, restricted muscle, and can be performed by fixed or adjustable sutures. With the latter procedure, the suture is tied like a shoelace and can be adjusted when the patient is awake by pulling up the muscle or releasing it, until a satisfactory field of single binocular vision is obtained (179). It is not uncommon that more than one surgical procedure is required to achieve a satisfactory result. Among 290 patients undergoing extraocular muscle surgery, 171 (59%) required 1 operation, 87 (30%) 2 operations, and 35 (12%) 3 or more operations (178). Mourits et al. (180) found that among 38 patients operated on with the technique of fixed sutures, 27 (71%) recovered a useful field of single binocular vision after 1 operation, and 7 (18%) after 2 or more operations. Treatment failures may be due to the fact that extraocular muscles of Graves’ patients are taut and bleed excessively, postoperative scarring may be considerable, and eyelid swelling may make access to the operative field difficult (180). Eyelid retraction and exotropia may occur as a consequence of strabismus surgery (181). The technique of adjustable sutures might reduce the number of surgical procedures by allowing a postoperative fine tuning of muscle recession, avoiding large undercorrections and overcorrections (182). However, controlled studies designed to determine the advantage of adjustable sutures compared with fixed sutures are lacking.

According to some authors (178), but not to others (140), the release of the fibrotic eye muscle may be associated with an increase in proptosis. Therefore, in patients with moderate proptosis, preliminary orbital decompression, performed before extraocular muscle surgery, may be considered (178).

In summary, extraocular muscle surgery is effective in restoring single binocular vision in functional positions of gaze in the majority of patients. It is required in 20–70% of patients after treatment of severe GO (1). It must be performed when the disease has been inactive for several months, and it may require prior decompression. The patient should be informed that more than one surgical procedure is often needed.

2. Eyelid surgery. Upper eyelid retraction can be related to overreaction of the superior rectus or levator muscles that might be secondary to the contracture of the inferior rectus muscle (179). Lower lid retraction is thought to be related to overreaction of the inferior rectus muscle (179). Eyelid surgery can be performed as an emergency procedure (tarsorrhaphy) in patients with exposure keratitis and corneal ulceration (6), but it is more frequently carried out for rehabilitative reasons or to correct eyelid malposition after medical treatment or orbital decompression (6). As for extraocular muscle surgery, eyelid surgery should, with the exception of emergency tarsorrhaphy, be postponed until the ophthalmopathy has been stable and inactive for 4–6 months; eyelid surgery represents the last step if extraocular muscle surgery is also required (183).

A single injection of 40 U of botulinum toxin A into the glabellar muscles, corrugator supercilii, and, sometimes, procerus has been reported to induce a flattening of the glabellar region and improvement of the medial eyebrow contour and glabellar frowning (184). This suggests that reversible chemodenervation of the glabellar muscles might be considered, as an alternative to eyelid surgery, in the rehabilitation of patients with upper lid retraction and overacting protractors resulting in a thyroid frown.

Surgical techniques for upper lid retraction include recession of Muller’s muscle, levator aponeurosis recession, levator myotomy, and temporary or permanent canthorraphy (179). In many instances the excision or recession of Muller’s muscle is sufficient, while the recession of the levator muscle may also be carried out if upper lid retraction is more pronounced. For lower lid retraction, the most effective technique is probably the recession of the lid retractors followed by the insertion of a scleral or cartilage graft as a spacer (1). Scleral grafting for upper lid lengthening does not appear to have any distinct advantage over the other lengthening techniques (185). These procedures have approximately 90% success in achieving a normal lid level, but secondary surgery may be required in cases of undercorrection or overcorrection (180). Herniated orbital fat can be concomitantly removed (179).

VI. Summary of Assessment and Treatment of Graves’ Ophthalmopathy

To summarize data presented in the previous sections on the assessment of GO and its treatment (Table 10), the first step is to establish whether GO is severe and active. In nonsevere GO, supportive measures are usually sufficient, even if eye disease has some degree of activity. If GO is severe, the degree of activity of eye disease must be assessed. Active and
severe GO can be treated either medically (in general, by high-dose glucocorticoids and/or orbital radiotherapy) or surgically (orbital decompression). It must be kept in mind that medical treatment does not rule out the possibility (or the need) of subsequent surgical decompression and vice versa, if the ophthalmopathy remains active despite either treatment. If the ophthalmopathy is severe, but has a limited degree of activity, orbital decompression is preferred, because medical treatment has a low effectiveness. Rehabilitative surgery to correct residual manifestations of the disease, i.e., extraocular muscle impairment and eyelid retraction, is carried out eventually, after medical or surgical decompression, only when there is firm evidence that the ophthalmopathy has been inactive for several months. Eye muscle surgery should precede eyelid surgery. Orbital decompression can also be considered a form of rehabilitative surgery in cases of cosmetically unacceptable proptosis.

VII. Treatment of Hyperthyroidism and the Course of Graves' Ophthalmopathy

GO may occur before, concomitantly with, but also after the onset of hyperthyroidism (7). Thus, in many instances the onset of eye disease follows the institution of treatments aimed at controlling or curing hyperthyroidism. This makes it difficult to establish whether the occurrence, amelioration, or aggravation of the ophthalmopathy is related to the natural history of the disease or is treatment induced. The information on the effects of treatment of hyperthyroidism on GO is, therefore, often conflicting, contradictory, and unclear.

An important point is that thyroid status per se, or, more likely, TSH-R activation that can occur in both hyperthyroidism (via TSH-R antibody) and hypothyroidism (via TSH), can influence the course of eye disease. DeGroot et al. (186) evaluated 264 patients treated with radioiodine and followed for up to 10 yr thereafter. They found that the chance of having a progression of the ophthalmopathy was significantly higher in patients who required two or more doses of radioiodine than in those becoming hypothyroid after the first dose (186). In the former group, progression of GO occurred in 15/127 patients (12%), whereas in the latter group eye disease progressed in 2/48 patients (4%) (186). These results might also be interpreted as due to the negative effects of repeated radiodine treatments (see below). The relationship between persistent hyperthyroidism and worsening of eye disease was reported also by Gwynup et al. (187).

On the other hand, Karlsson et al. (188) noted that among 30 patients referred for severe ophthalmopathy, eye disease occurred in 9 cases after radioiodine therapy (associated with a rise in TSH-R antibody levels) and in 3 cases after a temporary withdrawal of thionamides (associated with a rebound of abnormal thyroid stimulation), but in 15 cases it manifested after a period with elevated serum TSH levels. Thus, the above studies suggested that both hyper- and hypothyroidism may account for progression of GO.

This concept appears to be supported by other studies. In a series of 87 GO patients, 54 receiving methimazole therapy were euthyroid at the time of referral and did not show any substantial ocular change over the next 5 months (189). At variance, the remaining 33 patients, who were hyperthyroid at the time of referral, showed a general improvement of ocular conditions with antithyroid drug treatment in the same period of time (189). In another study Prummel et al. (190) reported that when patients were subdivided into 4 groups according to the increasing severity of eye disease, a greater prevalence of Graves’ patients with abnormal thyroid function was found in the subgroups with more severe GO.

If abnormal thyroid status can affect the course of GO, what is known about the effects of different treatment modalities for hyperthyroidism? The relationship between the type of treatment of hyperthyroidism and the outcome of eye disease is not completely clear, due to the limited knowledge of the natural history of the ophthalmopathy, the retrospective and uncontrolled features of the majority of studies evaluating the effects of thyroidectomy, radioiodine, or antithyroid drugs, and the nonstandardized methods for the evaluation of ocular changes (191).

A. Antithyroid drug treatment

As mentioned above, restoration of euthyroidism by thionamides has been reported to be associated with an amelioration of eye disease (189), but it is unclear whether this result is related to a direct effect of thionamides, to thionamide-induced normalization of thyroid status, or to the natural history of eye disease. In a recent, randomized and prospective study on the effect of radioiodine and methimazole on nonsevere ophthalmopathy, we found that among 148 patients treated with methimazole, 3 of the 74 patients with preexisting ophthalmopathy (4%) had an improvement and 4 patients in the whole group (3%) had a worsening of eye disease (192). At variance, Gwynup et al. (187) reported that propylthiouracil therapy was associated more often with a progression of eye disease than other treatment of hyperthyroidism, although this conclusion was based only on changes in exophthalmometer readings. Thus, it would appear that antithyroid drug therapy does not substantially affect the course of the ophthalmopathy and should not be considered a disease-modifying treatment.

The major problem posed by antithyroid drug therapy is represented by the large number of recurrences after drug withdrawal. In a recent study on 306 Graves’ patients treated with methimazole, a relapse of hyperthyroidism occurred in 194 patients (63%), especially in young subjects, with large goiters (>40 ml) and high TSH-receptor antibody levels (>30 U/liter) at diagnosis (193). Although the use of of high-dose thionamides combined with TSH-suppressive doses of L-T4 and followed by prolonged L-T4 treatment alone was claimed to lower dramatically the rate of recurrence of hyperthyroidism in Japan (194), these encouraging results have not been confirmed in more recent studies (195–199). Recurrence of hyperthyroidism due to Graves’ disease is accompanied by a reactivation of thyroid autoimmunity heralded by the increase in the circulating TSH-R antibody and other thyroid autoantibody levels (191). Although the link between thyroid autoimmune phenomena and orbital autoimmune phenomena, responsible for GO, remains to be definitely proven (see Section II), it is conceivable that the exacerbation of thyroid
autoimmune reactions may adversely affect the course of the ophthalmopathy (191). This might also be the case in the presence of spontaneous fluctuations of thyroid status due to imperfect control of thyroid function by thionamides (200).

B. Radioiodine therapy

Radioiodine therapy is a well-established method of treatment of Graves’ disease-related hyperthyroidism and represents the most widely used treatment in the United States (201). The relationship between radioiodine therapy and the course of GO is a matter of controversy and has been the object of several recent reviews (202–204). Results are often conflicting owing to the retrospective and nonrandomized features of many studies, the lack of appropriate control groups, the lack of standardized methods of ocular evaluation, and the inclusion of patients with different degrees of disease severity, duration, and activity.

Few randomized and controlled studies on this issue are available. In one study, we treated a small cohort of patients with Graves’ hyperthyroidism and mild or no ophthalmopathy with either radioiodine alone or radioiodine associated with a 3-month course of oral prednisone (0.4–0.5 mg/kg/day, initial dose) (205). Progression of ophthalmopathy was observed in 9 of 26 patients (35%) with eye involvement before radioiodine therapy; in the group receiving prednisone also, progression did not occur, and preexisting ophthalmopathy improved in most cases (205). Although it was randomized, our study did not include a control group of patients receiving methimazole treatment.

In a subsequent randomized, controlled study Tallstedt et al. (206) found that the frequency of development or progression of ophthalmopathy was similar in patients treated with thionamides (4 of 38 patients, 10%) or with thyroidectomy (6 of 37 patients, 16%), but significantly higher in those receiving radioiodine therapy (13 of 39 patients, 33%, P = 0.02 vs. the other treatments). This study was criticized (202) because the prevalence of smokers was higher in the radioiodine group than in the other groups and because the radioiodine-treated group did not receive l-T₄ replacement therapy promptly, but were treated only after a variable period of hypothyroidism, which might have been a confounding factor. As a matter of fact, the same group subsequently reported that the prompt administration of l-T₄ and the avoidance of untreated postradioiodine hypothyroidism was associated with a decrease in GO development after radioiodine (45 of the 248 patients, 18%, receiving levothyroxine when hypothyroid; 27 of the 244 patients, 11%, treated with l-T₄ 2 weeks after radioiodine; P = 0.03) (207, 208). Vázquez-Chávez et al. (209) randomly assigned a group of 40 Graves’ patients to treatment with either radioiodine or thyroidectomy; patients were followed up for 2 to 162 months; there were no differences in the ocular conditions between these two groups, however, based only on exophthalmometer readings.

In a prospective, randomized study we assigned 450 patients with hyperthyroidism due to Graves’ disease and mild or no ophthalmopathy to treatment with either radioiodine alone, methimazole, or radioiodine followed by treatment with oral prednisone (192). Among the 150 patients treated with radioiodine alone, progression of the ophthalmopathy was observed in 23 cases (15%) shortly after radioiodine administration, and this was persistent only in 8 (5%) who subsequently required treatment for ophthalmopathy (192). Progression of eye disease was not observed in the group treated also with prednisone; in this group preexisting eye disease improved in two thirds of cases, and treatment with methimazole did not influence the course of the ophthalmopathy (Fig. 5) (192). In this study thyroid status did not affect the outcome of the ophthalmopathy, because postradioiodine hyper- or hypothyroidism was promptly corrected with the appropriate therapy. It has been argued that these results do not definitively support the role of radioiodine therapy, because the progression of the ophthalmopathy after radioiodine administration might simply be coincidental, reflecting the natural history of the disease (202, 210). On the other hand, the different outcome in the methimazole group might reflect a beneficial effect of the antithyroid drug therapy, which would then be the true disease-modifying treatment (211).

Admittedly, progression of ophthalmopathy after radioiodine does not occur in the majority of patients. This suggests that other risk factors or cofactors for the progression of eye disease must contribute to this outcome: smoking (212), high pretreatment T₃ values (206), high serum TSH-receptor antibody (188) and TSH (188, 213) levels, and pre-existing ophthalmopathy (192, 205) are recognized risk factors for the deterioration of eye disease after radioiodine. The search for and identification of other risk factors should allow a better coordinated treatment of high-risk patients (214). In high-risk patients oral prednisone should concomitantly be administered for 3 months to prevent radioiodine-associated progression of ophthalmopathy and to cure pre-existing ophthalmopathy (192, 205).

The development or progression of GO after radioiodine therapy might be due to the release of thyroid antigens after radiation injury and to subsequent exacerbations of autoimmun
mune reactions directed toward antigens shared by the thyroid and the orbit (1). This is similar to the mechanisms postulated for the occurrence of eye disease after irradiation of the neck for nonthyroidal disorders (215, 216) or after thyroid-destructive processes (217). Radioiodine therapy for differentiated thyroid carcinoma is followed by the release of thyroxine peroxidase in the circulation (218), but demonstration of this phenomenon in Graves’ disease is hampered by the interfering presence of autoantibodies. Radioiodine therapy is followed by an increase in concentration and activity of TSH-receptor antibodies (219) and by peripheral blood T cell activation (220); a prolonged increase (lasting >2 yr) in thyroid autoantibody production has been described after radioiodine therapy (221).

It seems that the possible negative effects of radioiodine on the ophthalmopathy may modify the attitude of many endocrinologists with respect to the use of radioiodine therapy in patients with clinically relevant eye disease. In a recent survey carried out among European endocrinologists, in the treatment of recurrent hyperthyroidism after antithyroid drug therapy, thyroidectomy was selected by 43% of respondents, a second course of antithyroid drugs by 32%, and radioiodine by only 25% (100). In other words, when ablative therapy was selected, the preference went to surgery rather than to radioiodine therapy. We do not share the view that radioiodine therapy should be avoided in patients with ophthalmopathy (222). This is because progression of GO does not occur in the majority of cases and can be prevented by concomitant prednisone therapy (192, 205). In addition, ablation of the thyroid might in the long run prove useful for the long-term outcome of eye disease (see Section VII.D).

In summary, radioiodine treatment seems to be associated with a possible progression of preexisting ophthalmopathy, especially in smokers. Progression does not occur in the majority of patients and can be prevented by concomitant glucocorticoid treatment. The possible side effects of glucocorticoids, and the need to complete the relatively short course of drug treatment once radioiodine treatment has been given, should be discussed thoroughly with the patient before radioiodine is chosen as the definitive treatment for hyperthyroidism.

C. Thyroidectomy

Although it is not so open to debate as radioiodine therapy, the issue of whether thyroidectomy affects the course of GO is also unsettled. This might, at least partly, be due to the different surgical approaches (subtotal vs. total thyroidectomy).

In the large retrospective study by Sridama and DeGroot (223), development of new ophthalmopathy after subtotal thyroidectomy occurred in 7% of cases, and progression of preexisting ophthalmopathy occurred in 19%. These figures are similar to those observed with the other hyperthyroidism treatment modalities. Frilling et al. (224) evaluated 78 patients with preexisting ophthalmopathy who underwent subtotal thyroidectomy: they observed a marked improvement in 54 (69%), ocular conditions did not change in 18 (23%), and 6 patients (8%) had a progression of the ophthalmopathy after surgery. These authors attributed the positive results to early intervention (<2 yr) after the onset of eye disease (224).

Tallstedt et al. (206) in a prospective and randomized study found that in patients aged 20–34 yr randomly assigned to treatment with either methimazole or subtotal thyroidectomy, progression of ophthalmopathy occurred in 4 of 27 patients (15%) receiving methimazole and in 3 of 27 patients (11%) treated surgically; among patients aged 35–55 yr, randomly assigned to either of the two above treatments or to radioiodine therapy, progression of ophthalmopathy occurred in 6 of 37 patients (16%) treated surgically, compared with 4 of 38 patients (10%) treated medically and 13 of 39 patients (33%) treated with radioiodine. Thus, thyroidectomy did not appear to increase the risk of GO progression compared with antithyroid drugs and carried a lower risk compared with radioiodine therapy (206).

In 21 patients treated by subtotal thyroidectomy by Fernández-Sánchez et al. (225), none had a progression of ophthalmopathy and the condition improved in 17 cases (81%); these results compared favorably with those observed in a group of 24 patients treated with radioiodine therapy. Patwardhan et al. (226) evaluated the course of ophthalmopathy in 81 Graves’ patients (30 with preexisting ophthalmopathy) who underwent subtotal thyroidectomy from 1980 to 1992; eye manifestations improved in 27 of the 50 patients (54%) with preexisting GO; eye disease did not develop or progress in any patient with or without preoperative eye involvement.

Winsa et al. (227) recently evaluated retrospectively a large series of 173 Graves’ patients who underwent either subtotal (n = 157) or total (n = 19) thyroidectomy. Eye disease worsened in 9 of 56 patients (16%) treated by subtotal thyroidectomy and 1 of 17 (6%) patients treated by total thyroidectomy, who had preoperative clinically evident ophthalmopathy, while new ophthalmopathy developed in 2 of 101 patients (2%) treated by subtotal thyroidectomy and 0 of 2 patients treated by total thyroidectomy (227). Thus, as a whole, progression of ophthalmopathy occurred in 12 patients (7%), more frequently among those who had clinically evident eye disease before surgery (227). No substantial effect on GO was observed by Miccoli et al. (228) in 140 surgically treated Graves’ patients, independently of the extent of thyroid resection. Abe et al. (229) observed that among 18 patients treated by subtotal thyroidectomy, GO progressed in 1 (6%), improved in 3 (17%), and did not change in the remaining 14 (78%); these results compared favorably with those observed after radioiodine therapy.

We recently reviewed the effects of near-total thyroidectomy in a case-control prospective study involving 30 patients with mild or no ophthalmopathy (230). Our results confirm that surgery has no relevant role in the progression of GO, which occurred only in one patient (who had preexisting ophthalmopathy) (230). Accordingly, we also believe that, at variance with radioiodine treatment, glucocorticoid coverage has no role after thyroidectomy for Graves’ disease.

To summarize the above data, thyroidectomy per se seems to carry a very low risk, if any, of causing GO progression. The available data do not show any substantial difference between the effects of subtotal or total thyroidectomy on the outcome of ophthalmopathy. Glucocorticoid treatment is not necessary after thyroid surgery.
D. Total thyroid ablation

If the hypothesis that GO is related to orbital autoimmune reactions directed toward antigen(s) shared by the thyroid and the orbit (1) is correct, the complete ablation of thyroid tissue might be important for the removal of both the thyroid-orbit cross-reacting autoantigens (antigen deprivation) and thyroid-autoactive T lymphocytes (199). The importance of total thyroid ablation on the decrease of thyroid autoimmune phenomena finds support in the observation that after thyroidectomy and radioiodine therapy in autoantibody-positive patients with thyroid cancers, serum anti-thyroglobulin and anti-thyroid peroxidase autoantibodies become undetectable or decrease in patients with no evidence of residual (or metastatic) thyroid tissue, but they do not change or increase in patients with persistent neoplastic disease (231). On the other hand, if orbital autoantigens are not cross-reactive with the thyroid, total thyroid thyroid ablation would have a limited relevance for the course of the ophthalmopathy (232). In addition, if ophthalmopathy is well established and/or long lasting, it is conceivable that orbital autoimmunity might proceed independently of the persistence or removal of thyroid tissue. Therefore, also in this case, total thyroid ablation might not influence favorably the course of eye disease even in the presence of a real link between thyroid and orbital autoimmunity.

Treatment of hyperthyroidism by radioiodine therapy or thyroidectomy is rarely followed by the complete ablation of thyroid tissue, even in patients who develop hypothyroidism and require l-T₄ replacement. DeGroot (233) reported that most of 40 patients, treated with radioiodine therapy followed by replacement therapy for hypothyroidism, still had nonsuppressible thyroid radioiodine uptakes of 2–24% when therapy was discontinued for 2 days. In an uncontrolled study, DeGroot and Benjasuratwong (234) described 15 patients, 14 of whom were hypothyroid after either radioiodine therapy (n = 13) or thyroidectomy (n = 1). These patients were treated with further radioiodine doses to obtain thyroid ablation, defined as a thyroid radioiodine uptake less than 1%. The patients continued other treatments for ophthalmopathy, if required. The results showed beneficial effects of total thyroid ablation in 11 of 15 patients, those with more recent eye disease, while the remaining 4 patients, those with long lasting ophthalmopathy, did not respond (234). The apparent improvement occurred 4–24 months (mean 9 months) after thyroid ablation was achieved (234). DeGroot (233) reported similar favorable results in a larger series of more than 40 patients.

Admittedly, the two cited studies by DeGroot’s group (233, 234) are uncontrolled and cannot firmly support the idea that total thyroid ablation is beneficial for GO. However, they can, at least, support the opportunity of properly performed randomized and controlled prospective studies to evaluate this hypothesis. In this regard, probably the best approach to achieve total thyroid ablation might be represented by a combination of thyroidectomy and radioiodine therapy, similar to the management of differentiated thyroid cancer. A further consideration deriving from DeGroot’s studies (233, 234) is that this might represent a logical approach in the management of GO patients early in the course of the disease. Future studies addressing this problem will help to clarify the role of total thyroid ablation in GO management.

VIII. Treatment of Graves’ Hyperthyroidism in Patients with Ophthalmopathy

Two relevant questions must be addressed before analyzing the issue of the choice of the therapeutic approach of hyperthyroidism in patients with GO: 1) Should the presence of ophthalmopathy influence the choice of the treatment for hyperthyroidism?; and 2) Should ablative or nonablative therapy for hyperthyroidism be selected in patients with eye disease (Table 11)?

We have reviewed in previous sections the effects of antithyroid drugs, radioiodine therapy, and thyroidectomy on the course of GO. It appears that while thionamides do not affect the course of eye disease and might be associated with an amelioration of eye symptoms, radioiodine therapy may cause, in a minority of cases, a progression of ophthalmopathy. This risk is unlikely in patients who undergo thyroidectomy. Does this mean that radioiodine should not be used in GO patients? In a recent editorial in the New England

| Table 11. Ablative or nonablative therapy for Graves’ hyperthyroidism in patients with Graves’ ophthalmopathy? |
|---|---|
| **Nonablative therapy (thionamides)** | **Arguments against** |
| High risk of recurrence of hyperthyroidism | |
| Fluctuations of thyroid function during treatment may be detrimental to eye disease | |
| Ablation of thyroid tissue (antigen deprivation) and removal of intrathyroidal autoactive T lymphocytes may be beneficial for the ophthalmopathy | |
| **Arguments in favor** | |
| Usual amelioration of ophthalmopathy during antithyroid drug treatment | |
| Hyperthyroidism can be controlled while the ophthalmopathy remits spontaneously or is appropriately treated | |
| Lack of controlled studies definitely proving that thyroid ablation is beneficial for ophthalmopathy | |
| **Ablative therapy (radioiodine, thyroidectomy)** | **Arguments against** |
| Radioiodine may cause progression of ophthalmopathy | |
| Thyroidectomy is associated with risks of surgical complications | |
| Lack of controlled studies definitely proving that thyroid ablation is beneficial for ophthalmopathy | |
| **Arguments in favor** | |
| Ablation of thyroid tissue (antigen deprivation) and removal of intrathyroidal autoactive T lymphocytes may be beneficial for the ophthalmopathy | |
| Possible radioiodine-associated progression of ophthalmopathy can be prevented by a short course of glucocorticoid therapy | |
A coordinated approach to the management of hyperthyroidism and ophthalmopathy is influenced by the degree of ocular involvement. Our method of coordinated treatment of hyperthyroidism and ophthalmopathy is indicated below (Table 12); we fully understand that it may be a matter for debate.

### A. Nonsevere (or absent) ophthalmopathy

The choice of treatment of hyperthyroidism should be based on established criteria (235). If antithyroid drugs or thyroidectomy is selected, no specific measures are required for the ophthalmopathy, except for the local treatment outlined in Section IV (6). If hyperthyroidism is treated by radioiodine therapy, this is followed, in patients with signs and symptoms of ocular involvement, by a 3-month treatment with oral prednisone (192, 205), especially in the presence of other risk factors (e.g., cigarette smoking). Special care should be used to correct promptly postradioiodine hypothyroidism or persistent hyperthyroidism.

### B. Severe ophthalmopathy

In this case management of hyperthyroidism and treatment of ophthalmopathy should be carried out concomitantly and proceed independently of each other. We favor, for the reasons discussed above, the use of a definitive treatment of hyperthyroidism by radioiodine or thyroidectomy in these patients (191, 200). Their eye disease should also be promptly managed by the appropriate medical or surgical treatment without delay.

### IX. Prevention of Graves’ Ophthalmopathy

#### A. Natural history of the disease

The natural history of Graves’ ophthalmopathy is incompletely understood, but it is well established (although unexplained) that severe forms of the disease account for no more than 3–5% of cases (1). Genetic predisposition to GO, extensively studied especially for human leukocyte antigen associations, has so far been poorly characterized, and discrepant results have been reported (see Refs. 236 and 237 for review). The disease tends to be more severe in older patients, and men tend to be more severely affected than women (238–240). Perros and co-workers (76) reported that a spontaneous improvement of ocular conditions occurred in 64% of patients, and eye disease remained stable in 22% and deteriorated in 14% (Fig. 1). The overall age-adjusted incidence rate in a population-based cohort study in Minnesota

---

**Table 12. Coordinated treatment of Graves’ hyperthyroidism and ophthalmopathy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ocular involvement</th>
<th>Selected therapy for hyperthyroidism</th>
<th>Therapy for GO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonsevere</td>
<td>Antithyroid drugs</td>
<td>Supportive measures&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radioiodine therapy</td>
<td>Middle-dose oral glucocorticoids&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thyroidectomy</td>
<td>Supportive measures&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Antithyroid drugs</td>
<td>High-dose glucocorticoids and orbital radiotherapy (or orbital decompression)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radioiodine therapy</td>
<td>High-dose glucocorticoids and orbital radiotherapy (or orbital decompression)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thyroidectomy</td>
<td>High-dose glucocorticoids and orbital radiotherapy (or orbital decompression)&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> See Table 4.
<sup>b</sup> Prednisone, 0.4–0.5 mg/kg/day (or equivalent), withdrawn over 3 months (191).
<sup>c</sup> Treatment of severe GO should be carried out without delay independently of the type of treatment for hyperthyroidism.
<sup>d</sup> See Table 11.
was 16 cases/100,000 population/yr for women and 2.9 cases/100,000 population/yr for men (241). Most interestingly, the disease seems less common and less severe than in the past. Perros and Kendall-Taylor (242) reviewed the clinical records of the first 100 consecutive patients diagnosed as having Graves’ disease at the beginning of each decade (1960–1990) at their large thyroid clinic. They found a significant decrease in the prevalence of relevant eye manifestations from 57% in 1960 to 35% in 1990; likewise, there was also a decline in the prevalence of the severe forms of the disease (242) (Fig. 6). The reason for this trend is unclear. On one hand we have the earlier diagnosis of hyperthyroidism and its prompter correction by the endocrinologist, and, on the other hand, there is increased attention of the ophthalmologist to the possible link between initial and mild ocular changes and thyroid dysfunction. Obviously, this trend will have to be encouraged to unravel subclinical thyroid and ocular involvement.

B. Cigarette smoking

Cigarette smoking has been associated with minor and not unequivocal variations in thyroid function tests, the pathophysiological significance of which is uncertain (243, 244). An increased prevalence of smokers in patients with Graves’ hyperthyroidism has been observed by most (245–247), but not all, research groups (248). A relationship between cigarette smoking and the occurrence of autoimmune thyroiditis, with one exception (249), was not found by most groups (246, 247, 250, 251). The reason for this difference between two related autoimmune diseases is unclear; it is possible that the relationship between cigarette smoking and Graves’ disease is unrelated to a direct effect of smoking on the immune system.

What about the effect of cigarette smoking on GO? A first report by Hagg and Asplund (252) showed, in a small series of 12 GO patients, that 83% of them were current smokers, a much higher figure than that observed in Graves’ patients without ophthalmopathy (46%) or in control subjects (31%). In a subsequent cross-sectional study reviewing the smoking habits of 1,730 women, we found that, while the prevalence of smokers was about 30% in patients with nontoxic goiter, toxic nodular goiter, and Hashimoto’s thyroiditis, smokers represented 48% of 167 patients with Graves’ disease without ophthalmopathy and 64% of 307 patients with ophthalmopathy (246) (Table 13). In addition, the prevalence of heavy smokers was higher in patients with more severe ophthalmopathy (252). These results were subsequently confirmed by other studies (248, 253–255). Prummel and Wiersinga (245), in a consecutive entry case-control study, observed that smoking greatly increased the risk for GO, but also Graves’ patients without ophthalmopathy were more often smokers than control subjects (Table 13). In addition, among GO patients, smokers had more severe eye disease than nonsmokers, although there was no association between the number of cigarettes smoked per day or the duration of smoking and the severity of eye disease (244).

Recently, Pfeilschifter and Ziegler (256) prospectively followed 253 patients with a recent onset of Graves’ hyperthyroidism and found that cigarette smoking was associated with a 1.3-fold increased incidence of clinically relevant GO and with 2.6-fold and 3.1-fold increases in the incidence of proptosis and diplopia, respectively. In this study the current number of daily cigarettes, but not lifetime cigarette consumption, was an independent risk factor for GO development. In addition, former smokers had a lower risk for the occurrence of eye disease than current smokers with a comparable lifetime tobacco consumption (256). Tellez et al. (257) observed that the prevalence of ophthalmopathy was higher in Caucasian patients than in Asian patients (42% vs. 7.7%), and, after correction for the ethnic factor, smokers had a risk of 2.41 of developing ophthalmopathy relative to nonsmokers (P = 0.02).

We recently reviewed the outcome of mild ophthalmopathy after radioiodine therapy and the response of severe ophthalmopathy to orbital radiotherapy and systemic glucocorticoids in relation to smoking habits (212). Among patients with mild ophthalmopathy, we found that after radioiodine therapy, eye disease progressed in 4 of 68 nonsmokers (5%) and in 19 of 32 smokers (23%, P = 0.007) (212). The combination of radioiodine therapy with a short course of oral prednisone was associated with an improvement of ophthalmopathy in 37 of 58 nonsmokers (64%) and 13 of 87 smokers (15%, P < 0.001) (212). Among patients with severe ophthalmopathy treated with orbital radiotherapy and high-dose glucocorticoids, a response to treatment was observed in 61 of 65 nonsmokers (94%) and 58 of 85 smokers (68%, P < 0.001) (Fig. 7) (212).

Thus, it seems evident that cigarette smoking can profoundly influence the occurrence and the course of eye disease and also impair its response to orbital radiotherapy and glucocorticoids. Smoking might do this by direct irritative actions on the eyes; however, this might account for inflammatory changes, but not for the increased volume of the extraocular muscles and retrobulbar fibroadipose tissue.

Smoking might affect immunological reactions possibly involved in the pathogenesis of eye disease, by altering the structure of the TSH-R and making it more immunogenic, by hampering restoration of tolerance to autoantigens shared by the thyroid and the orbit, or by sensitizing the orbital tissue.

Fig. 6. Prevalence of GO (GO+) and of severe forms of the disease in the first 100 consecutive Graves’ patients referred to the same thyroid clinic in 1960 and 1990. [Derived from P. Perros and P. Kendall-Taylor: Thyroid 8:423–425, 1998 (242).]
to whatever substance or antibody that can trigger GO (258). However, so far there is no definite evidence that any of these mechanisms are effectively involved. Since smoking is associated with increased thyroglobulin levels (259), a rise in serum antithyroglobulin autoantibodies might play a role in the pathogenesis of eye disease. This is because of the reported homology between thyroglobulin and acetylcholinesterase, which is particularly abundant in the nerve-nerve and nerve-muscle junctions of the extraocular muscles (260). The role of this homology between thyroglobulin and acetylcholinesterase in GO pathogenesis, however, has been questioned (261).

As previously mentioned (see Section II), cytokines are currently believed to play an important role in the pathogenesis of ophthalmopathy. Smoking might influence cytokine-mediated paracrine and autocrine actions, because smoking-induced hypoxia in the orbital tissue has been shown to induce the release of cytokines (262). Hypoxia might also increase the release of cytokines by endothelial cells (263, 264) and thereby enhance the expression of adhesion molecules (24). It is worth mentioning that serum levels of soluble interleukin-1 (IL-1) receptor antagonist, an anticytokine antagonizing the effects of IL-1 (265), were reported to be lower in GO patients who smoked than in those who did not smoke, and to have a lower surge after orbital radiotherapy: this was associated with a lack of response to irradiation (266). The in vitro counterpart of this finding is that orbital fibroblasts from GO patients express lower levels of IL-1 RA than orbital fibroblasts from normal controls (267).

In conclusion, the mechanisms by which cigarette smoking affects GO remain a matter of argument, but the relationship between smoking and eye disease appears to be well established. Accordingly, patients should be strongly urged to stop smoking (268). Although some data suggest that refraining from smoking might favorably influence the course of ophthalmopathy (256), this remains to be established by appropriate prospective studies.

C. Other risk factors

The role of cigarette smoking in the development and progression of GO is well established, and it is likely that early diagnosis and treatment of hyperthyroidism may decrease the prevalence and severity of eye disease. However, other risk factors need to be better characterized. We discussed above the possible role of radiiodine therapy in the progression of ophthalmopathy, especially if patients have preexisting ocular involvement (192). The presence of very high pretreatment serum T3 levels (206), as well as very high posttreatment TSH-R antibody (188) or TSH levels (188, 213) may enhance the likelihood of GO progression. Identification of high-risk patients has prompted us either to select antithyroid drugs as the treatment of choice of hyperthyroidism (211) or to combine glucocorticoids with radiiodine therapy (192). The goals of future research should include the identification of other currently unknown environmental risk factors for the development of GO, as well as a better definition of its genetic background. This should help to understand why only a minority of Graves’ patients develop severe ocular manifestations.

X. Therapeutic Perspectives

A. Anticytokine therapy

As mentioned previously (see Section II), cytokines appear to play an important role in GO pathogenesis (3, 21), intervening both in its initiation and maintenance. Cytokines have a similar relevance in other diseases of autoimmune origin, such as rheumatoid arthritis (269). The latter has been and currently is the object of clinical trials evaluating the use of cytokine antagonists (see Ref. 270 for review). Biological agents aimed at blocking the proinflammatory effects of cy-

---

**Table 13. Prevalence of smokers among patients with Graves’ ophthalmopathy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>% of smokers</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bartalena</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>J Endocrinol Invest 12:733, 1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shine</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Lancet 1:1261, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balazs</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Lancet 2:754, 1990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teilez</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 36:291, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winsa</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Acta Endocrinol (Copenh) 128:156, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tallstedt</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>Acta Endocrinol (Copenh) 139:147, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prummel</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>JAMA 269:479, 1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfielschiter</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 45:477, 1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>732</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 7. Response of GO to orbital radiotherapy and high-dose prednisone in relation to smoking habits. [Derived from L. Bartalena et al.: Ann Intern Med 129:632–635, 1998 (212).]**
toxins [especially tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) and IL-1] include cytokine receptor antagonists, monoclonal antibodies to cytokines, soluble cytokine receptors fused with human Fc constructs, and counterregulatory cytokines (271). In one study, 73 patients with rheumatoid arthritis were randomly treated with either an infusion of monoclonal anti-TNF-α antibody or placebo; although the initial response to treatment was dramatic, relapse of the disease occurred within a few weeks (272). Favorable results were reported using monoclonal anti-TNF-α antibody by Rankin et al. (273). Results using the soluble IL-1 receptor for rheumatoid arthritis are controversial (274, 275).

As far as GO is concerned, studies in vitro by Tan et al. (265) showed that GAG synthesis by cultured human orbital fibroblasts could be inhibited in a dose-dependent manner by the soluble IL-1 receptor and IL-1 receptor antagonist. An indirect, cytokine-antagonist effect might be exerted by nicotinamide, which decreases in vitro the activation and proliferation of orbital fibroblasts induced by cytokines (276). However, data on the possible effect of cytokine antagonists in vivo are lacking. It is of interest to mention a recently published study on the effect of pentoxifylline on GO (277). This substance was previously reported to have an in vitro inhibitory effect on HLA-DR expression and on GAG secretion, both basal (278) and induced by IL-1, TNF-α, and interferon-γ (279). In the in vivo study by Balazs et al. (277) pentoxifylline was administered intravenously (200 mg/day) and then orally (initial dose, 1,800 mg/day for 4 weeks) to 10 GO patients; after 12 weeks, 8 patients showed an improvement of soft tissue involvement and, to a lesser degree, of proptosis and extraocular muscle involvement, with a reduction in the total eye score. These changes were associated with a decrease in serum GAG levels in responders but not in nonresponders (277). Although potentially interesting, this study was neither randomized nor controlled and involved a small number of patients. Thus, definite conclusions cannot be drawn, because the observed ocular changes might merely reflect the natural history of the disease.

Clinical trials on the use of cytokine antagonists similar to those ongoing in the field of rheumatoid arthritis are lacking for GO. However, the above in vitro and in vivo preliminary studies may lend support to a novel approach for the management of the disease. This may be regarded as a form of immunotherapy of GO. Oral tolerance after oral administration of thyroglobulin has been reported to be an approach suppressing the development of experimental autoimmune thyroiditis in mice (280). However, a recent report has shown that oral tolerization with human thyroglobulin does not affect spontaneous or iodine-induced lymphocytic thyroiditis or serum thyroglobulin autoantibodies in the BB/Wor rat (281). Whether oral tolerization may be applied also to GO in the future is difficult to say in view of the uncertainties on the primary pathogenetic events in this disease. Downregulation of cytokines by the use of cytokine antagonists may well be important tools in the management of GO in the future. However, the question then arises as to which of many could or should be chosen. This is particularly due to the redundant action of cytokines and the complexity of the mechanisms intervening in the initiation and perpetuation of GO. In addition, properly controlled and randomized studies are needed also to establish the safety, effectiveness, and cost/benefit ratio of cytokine antagonists. To return to rheumatoid arthritis, the use of disease-modifying biological agents has been associated with adverse effects in a few patients, including lymphoma, infections, and development of antinuclear antibodies (271). Whether these adverse effects are related to cytokine inhibition or represent a complication of the underlying disease remains to be ascertained, but they must be taken into account before these agents are launched too enthusiastically into the application to GO.

B. Somatostatin analogs

As pointed out in Section V.C.1, a few recent studies have evaluated the effects of somatostatin analogs on GO (159–165). Results seem promising and the rationale for this treatment is rather sound, but controlled and randomized studies enrolling a large number of patients are needed to verify the true effectiveness of these drugs and their superiority over established methods of treatment of the disease.

C. Colchicine

Colchicine is an effective antiinflammatory agent that inhibits phagocytosis of the macrophage, reduces chemotaxis of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, and decreases the expression of IL-2 receptors. In addition, it decreases the formation of leukotrienes, stimulates the release of PGE, and inhibits immunoglobulin secretion. A recent, preliminary report on 6 GO patients has shown favorable results on soft tissue changes, and subjective symptoms, with a concomitant decrease in T2 relaxation time at MRI (282). Since this study was uncontrolled, it is difficult to establish whether these changes are related to the natural history of the ophthalmopathy or to the effects of the drug. Also in this case controlled, randomized studies will be required to assess whether this drug may represent a novel therapeutic option for GO.

XI. Concluding Remarks

GO is a disease that profoundly affects the quality of life. In the evaluation of 70 consecutive GO patients with varying degrees of ocular involvement, Gerdng et al. (2) found low scores for several measures exploring the quality of life, such as physical functioning, role functioning, social functioning, mental health, health perception, pain, and energy (Fig. 8). Interestingly, GO patients scored lower than patients with diabetes mellitus, pulmonary emphysema, or heart failure (2). In addition, the low scores did not correlate with severity and activity of ophthalmopathy (2), suggesting that the negative impact of this disfiguring disease is somehow unrelated to its clinical assessment. Furthermore, in the long-term follow-up of GO patients in an incidence cohort study (283), at the end of the story approximately one third of patients, even after treatment, were dissatisfied with their ultimate appearance (Fig. 9). Thus, although GO is often self-limited and apparently nonsevere, nevertheless the disease quite frequently imposes a severe psychological, social, and economic burden on the patient, and this may occur even in forms of
the disease that may not appear particularly severe to the physician.

The last few years have witnessed relevant developments in our understanding of the pathogenesis of the disease. Progress in the management of GO has not been equally impressive, but novel treatments, such as anticytokine therapy or somatostatin analogs, based on our wider knowledge of the disease mechanisms, are being proposed. The coordinated effort of basic researchers, clinical endocrinologists, ophthalmologists, epidemiologists, orbit surgeons, and radiotherapists should be to define completely the pathogenesis of eye disease and its relationship with thyroid disease, to design impeccable clinical studies (in terms of design of the study and of the assessment of results) on the use of novel drugs, to identify all the correctable risk factors for the development or progression of ophthalmopathy, and to refine the surgical and radiotherapeutic techniques to optimize the final outcome. This is what is really required to improve the management of a disease that is often frustrating not only for the patient but also for the physician.

Acknowledgments

Our gratitude goes to the long list of colleagues at the Department of Endocrinology of the University of Pisa who in the last 25 yr have been members of the Graves’ Ophthalmopathy Group or have contributed with their criticisms and comments to amend our errors. Since management of Graves’ ophthalmopathy is typically multidisciplinary, we wish to thank our colleagues who have been collaborating with us for many years, ophthalmologists, radiotherapists, radiologists, and orbit surgeons. In particular we thank Drs. Francesco Cartei, Salvatore Mazzeo, Marco Nardi, and Stefano Sellari-Franceschini, all of whom work in our university.

References

15. Heufelder AE 1998 T-cell restriction in thyroid eye disease. Thyroid 8:419–422
opthalmopathy are CD8+ and specifically recognize autologous fibroblasts. J Clin Invest 3:2738–2743
32. McGregor AM 1998 Has the target autoantigen for Graves’ ophthalmopathy been found? Lancet 352:595–596
44. Burch HB, Sellitti DB, Barnes SG, Nagy EV, Bahn RS, Burman KD 1994 Thyrotropin receptor antisera for the detection of immunoreactive protein species in retroocular fibroblasts obtained from patients with Graves’ ophthalmopathy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 78:1384–1391
45. Stadlmayr W, Spitzweg C, Bichlmair A-M, Heufelder AE 1997 TSH receptor transcripts and TSH receptor-like immunoreactivity in orbital and pretibial fibroblasts of patients with Graves’ ophthalmopathy and pretibial myxedema. Thyroid 7:3–12
57. Cuddihy RM, Dutton CM, Bahn RS 1995 A polymorphism in the extracellular domain of the thyrotropin receptor is highly associated with autoimmune thyroid disease in females. Thyroid 5:89–95
59. Watson PF, French A, Pickett A, McIntosh RS, Weetman AP


142. Hutchinson BM, Kyle PM 1995 Long-term visual outcome fol-


190. Prummel MF, Wiersinga WM, Mourits MP, Koornneef L, Berg-

198. Edmonds CJ, Tellez M


194. Hashizume K, Ichikawa K, Sakurai A, Suzuki S, Takeda T, Koba-


190. Lucas A, Salinas I, Rius F, Pizarro E, Canadas ML, Foz M, San-


189. Pujol P, Osman A, Grabar S, Daurès J-P, Galtier-Dereure F, Boeg-


185. Pinchera A, Bartalena L, Marocci C 1995 Radioiodine may be bad for Graves' ophthalmopathy, but . . . . J Clin Endocrinol Metab 80:342–345


179. Vázquez-Chávez C, Nishimura Megura E, Espinosa Said L, Del-


172. Jackson R, Rosenberg C, Kleinmann R, Vagena Kis AG, Braver-


168. Teng W-P, Stark R, Munro AJ, Young SM, BoviswickeL KK, Weet-

dine on thyrotrophin receptor antibodies in Graves’ disease. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 4:517–522

166. Bartalena L, Marocci C, Pinchera A 1998 Therapy for hyperthy-


163. Fernández-Sánchez JR, Rosell Pradas J, Carazo Martínez O, Torre C, Ventas E, Escobar Jimenez F, Garbint Fuentes I, Vara Thor-


dence and relationship with tumour outcome. Acta Endocrinol (Copenh) 119:373–380
237. Farid NR, Balazs C 1998 The genetics of thyroid associated ophthalmopathy. Thyroid 8:407–409
258. Borup-Christensen S, Ericsson U-B, Janzon L, Tibblin S, Me-
lander A 1984 Influence of cigarette smoking on goiter formation, thyroglobulin, and thyroid hormone levels in women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 58:615–618
264. Tan GH, Duttyon CM, Bahn RS 1996 Interleukin-1 (IL-1) receptor antagonist and soluble IL-1 receptor inhibit IL-1-induced glycosaminoglycan production in cultured human orbital fibroblasts from patients with Graves’ ophthalmopathy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 81:449–452

281. Colzani RM, Alex S, Dunn AD, Dunn JT, Stone S, Braverman LE 1999 The oral administration of human thyroglobulin does not affect the incidence of lymphocytic thyroiditis in the biobreeding Worcester rat. Thyroid 9:831–835


ESCI 2000. 34th Annual Scientific Meeting of European Society for Clinical Investigation, The University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark, May 17–20, 2000

Sponsor: European Society for Clinical Investigation.
Contact: Susanne Keiding, MD (Meeting President), PET-Centre and Department of Medicine V, Aarhus University Hospital, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark.
Tel: +45 8949 3031, Fax: +45 8949 3020, E-mail: esci2000@pet.auh.dk
Homepage: http://www.pet.au.dk/esci2000